

WAVR
PO Box 99
Telephone: (304) 456-4469
Fax (304) 932-0022
Green Bank, West Virginia 24944-0099
July 9, 2009

Chairman Julius Genachowski
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Robert M. McDowell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Development of National Broadband Plan
GN Docket No. 09-51 Proceeding 09-31

Dear Federal Communications Commission:

Attached is a copy of a communication for President Obama in The White House and abbreviated signatures with personal comments. The full signatures, addresses, comments and dates were sent to President Obama. Signatures are still being collected.

Please seriously consider the harm (and costs of the impairment fallout) from wireless communication. Please consider the harm (and costs the harm produces) from contaminated (dirty) electricity.

Diane Schou, Ph.D

WAVR
PO Box 99
Telephone: (304) 456-5558
Fax (304) 932-0022
Green Bank, West Virginia 24944-0099
April 13, 2009

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500
Fax: 202-456-2461

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation ("electrosmog") that blankets our nation.

In the interests of our children and their future, we urge you to remove universal wireless broadband and broadband over power lines from your administration's agenda, and instead promote broadband access using only communication cables and fiber optics. In addition, the "Smart Grid" should be reexamined in order to develop different, healthier ways to make the nation's electrical grid more efficient.

Current FCC regulations controlling human exposure to radio frequency radiation, emitted by every communication antenna, are based on research conducted before 1986. These regulations are long out of date. The national wireless infrastructure has expanded enormously since then.

A recent review of the scientific literature on cell phone radiation points out that 68% of studies have found one or more biological effects from exposure levels previously deemed "safe."¹ This radiation is now being associated with attention deficit disorder, autism, sleep disorders, multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease and epilepsy, as well as asthma, diabetes, malignant melanoma, breast cancer, and other illnesses which have become increasingly more common.

We call on your administration to reconvene the Radio Frequency Inter-Agency Work Group, whose members, representing the FCC, EPA, FDA, OSHA, NIOSH and NTIA, have expressed concern that current regulations are inadequate.² This group should be empowered to revise existing federal regulations to reflect current science.

As with global warming, the dangers of electrosmog are no longer in doubt scientifically, only politically. Many governments and official organizations around the world, including the European Environment Agency,³ the French Parliament,⁴ the UK Department of Education,⁵ the Austrian Medical Association,⁶ the Belgian Ministry of Climate and Energy,⁷ and the Toronto Department of Public Health,⁸ have already issued warnings or restrictions on the use of cell phones and/or wireless computers by children.

The stakes are high. Universal wireless, broadband over power lines, and “Smart Grid” technology mean that exposure to radiation is involuntary, and that the more vulnerable members of society have nowhere to go to escape it. We are also concerned about documented effects on birds, insects and other wildlife.

Attached for review by you and your staff are web links to the most current scientific databases available, to informative websites, and to additional documents for further reading.

We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed: This letter was composed by well informed people who would be honored to answer your questions. Contact persons: Diane Schou, Ph.D. (304) 456-5558, Catherine Kleiber (920) 478-9696. Signatures are being gathered nationwide.

Footnotes

1. A. Huss et al., "Source of Funding and Results of Studies of Health Effects of Mobile Phone Use: Systematic Review of Experimental Studies", *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115(1): 1-4, 2007. <http://www.ehponline.org/members/2006/9149/9149.pdf>.
2. RFIAGW letter (1999): http://www.emrpolicy.org/litigation/case_law/docs/exhibit_a.pdf.
Members list: http://www.emrpolicy.org/litigation/case_law/docs/workgroupmemberslist.pdf
3. European Environment Agency: <http://www.eea.europa.eu/highlights/radiation-risk-from-everyday-devices-assessed>
4. French Parliament: <http://omega.twoday.net/stories/5437897>
5. UK Dept. of Education: http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_4123979
6. Austrian Medical Association: <http://www.aerztekkammer.at/?id=000000000020050830115543&aid=xhtml&id=000000000020050830115543&type=module&noedit=true>
7. Belgian Ministry of Climate and Energy: <http://www.elektrose.net/spip/spip.php?article62>
8. Toronto Dept. of Health:” <http://www.thestar.com/article/459099>

Scientific Databases

<http://electricwords.emfacts.com/>
<http://www.powerwatch.org.uk/science/studies.asp>

Documents

BioInitiative Report, USA: <http://bioinitiative.org/>

Literature Review: ICNIRP Guideline Critique, Dr Neil Cherry, Lincoln University, New Zealand, October 2, 1999: <http://www.salzburg.gv.at/ICNIRP-Kritik1.pdf>,
<http://www.salzburg.gv.at/ICNIRP-Kritik2.pdf>

Joining the Dots: An Overview of Public Health Trends in 2007, published by the Australian Democrats: http://www.democrats.org.au/docs/2007/Joining_the_Dots11.pdf

Freiburger Appeal (signed by over 3,000 doctors):
http://timleitch.net.nz/pdfs/freiburger_appeal.pdf.

Other similar appeals by scientists and doctors include:

London Resolution 2008, Venice Resolution 2008, WiMax Appeal 2006, Allgäuer Appeal 2006, Benevento Resolution 2006, Helsinki Appeal, 2005, Stockacher Appeal 2005, Hofer Appeal 2005, Lichtenfelser Appeal 2005, Freienbacher Appeal 2005, Pfarrkirchener Appeal 2005, Haibacher Appeal 2005, Oberammergauer Appeal 2005, Coburger Appeal 2005, Maintaler Appeal 2004, Bamberger Appeal 2004, Freiburger Appeal 2002, Catania Resolution 2002, Declaration of Alcalá 2002, Salzburg Resolution 2000, Vienna Resolution 1998

Articles

Review article: Nittby H et al. "Radiofrequency and extremely low-frequency electromagnetic field effects on the blood-brain barrier." *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 2008; 27(2): 103-126.

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/content~content=a793878246~db=all~order=page>

"Cancer Trends During the 20th Century", Örjan Hallberg and Olle Johansson, *Journal of Australian College of Nutritional & Environmental Medicine* 2002; 21(1); 3-8.

<http://www.iddd.de/umtsno/cancertrends.pdf>

Books

Blake Levitt. *Electromagnetic Fields: A Consumers Guide to the Issues and How to Protect Ourselves*, Harcourt Brace 1995.

Blake Levitt. *Cell Towers: Wireless Convenience? or Environmental Hazard?* New Century 2000.

Gunni Nordstrom. *The Invisible Disease: The Dangers of Environmental Illnesses Caused by Electromagnetic Fields and Chemical Emissions*, O Books 2004.

Robert O. Becker M.D. *Cross Currents: The Promise of Electromedicine, the Perils of Electropollution*, J.P. Tarcher 1990.

Websites

USA

Council on Wireless Technology Impacts: <http://energyfields.org>

EMR Policy Institute: <http://emrpolicy.org>

EMR Network: <http://emrnetwork.org>

Electrical Pollution Solutions: <http://electricalpollution.com/>

<http://electromagnetichealth.org>

Coalition to Regulate Antennae Siting: <http://www.safeantenna.org/>

San Francisco Neighborhood Antenna-Free Union:

http://www.antennafreeunion.org/info_research.htm

Microwave News: <http://www.microwavenews.com>

Sage Associates: <http://www.sageassociates.net/radiofrequency.html>

International

International Commission on Electromagnetic Safety: <http://www.icems.eu>

Powerwatch: <http://www.powerwatch.org.uk/>

EMFacts Consultancy: <http://www.emfacts.com/>

FEB: <http://www.feb.se/index.htm>

h.e.s.e. project: <http://www.hese-project.org/hese-uk/en/niemr/index.php>



Petition to Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#)

1. S. M. (Arizona) 25 Feb 2009

Thank you for your attention.

2. J. B. S. (Iowa) 1 Mar 2009

This is a real affliction for many persons. Avoidance has been the standard and best cure for this affliction or symptoms from overexposure.

3. C. G. (New Mexico) 2 Mar 2009

4. D. S. (West Virginia) 6 Mar 2009

Overexposure from permeating emissions has disabled me, and affected the whole family.

5. E. K. (Arizona) 6 Mar 2009

Consider that living organisms are bioenergized by electromagnetic fields as you consider a clean energy development and distribution plan. Do no harm while doing good.

6. K. P. (Virginia) 7 Mar 2009

Letter should mention health effects found by research on long-term cell phone use.

7. s. p. (California) 7 Mar 2009

We don't have another 10 years to be playing with this issue. We are needing change now. Not the changes in the manuals that tell you everything you need to know. That no one is reading. Education on radiation that comes from wireless devices, teaching the children why it is such a danger to them. The more I get to know about cabling and fiber optics, this is a healthier way to go. I urge you to sign and make copies of this petition and take it to work, etc. Make this issue known to everybody. It can save lives, and the Earth.

8. A. P. (New Mexico) 7 Mar 2009

9. D. J. E. (South Dakota) 7 Mar 2009

10. C. K. (Wisconsin) 7 Mar 2009

It is imperative for our health that meaningful safety standards for exposure to high frequencies from all sources be established. These standards must be designed using the precautionary principle to protect from the biological effects, not just tissue heating, caused by microwave and radiofrequency exposures and take into account multiple exposure sources. Please, my family is counting on you.

11. d. m. p. (California) 7 Mar 2009

Please do NOT choose to spend this money on a wireless infrastructure that studies indicate will very likely make our children sick.

12. G. D. () 7 Mar 2009

Gerry Duffett
Toronto / Ontario / Canada
duffett52@yahoo.com,
gerryduffett@fastmail.ca,
<http://gerryduffett.proboards54.com/index.cgi?board=general>

13. J. P. (Colorado) 7 Mar 2009

14. A. F. (Maryland) 7 Mar 2009

We are facing an immense health crisis from exposure to human induced rf/emf. This damage is most insidious as it leads to decreased mental function. This collective damage could jeopardize the future of the human species. Please enact sane exposure standards as soon as possible. In the meantime a governmental education campaign must be enacted. There should not be one more cell phone induced brain tumor. The evidence is clear except for the clouding of the facts purported by the Wireless Industry.

15. A. J. (Minnesota) 7 Mar 2009

My husband and I have, personally, experienced the impact of electrical pollution; in fact, it has affected our health dramatically. The prospect of the addition of universal wireless, broadband over power lines, and "Smart Grid" technology without further study and evaluation of the health impacts is imprudent and must not be allowed to happen. Please ensure that this part of the stimulus package does not proceed without thorough examination of the existing research and a risk assessment of the impacts to human and other life.

16. M. E. M. (Wisconsin) 7 Mar 2009

17. E. B. (California) 7 Mar 2009

Wireless broadband is an enormous human and environmental health threat. It is bad enough with cell phones and WIFI. Adding this only ensures more disease, suffering and environmental decimation.

18. S. C. (Massachusetts) 7 Mar 2009

My family, friends and I are very concerned about the fact that there is no sheriff in town. It is systemic in our society and government. While understanding the daunting task you have undertaken because of years of indifference and greed, we are in a downward spiral. The laws of the universe are evident here and will play out because history always repeats itself, but shame on us that we have the warnings in front of us and we do not heed them. Our society is

degenerating and with that comes ill health and bad judgment. How can a society rebound as a result of this? We have to protect our health and wellbeing in order to recover. It is not rocket science. I believe you can turn things around. We can't afford to live with emf and rf pollution and expect to thrive as a nation.

19. L. D. (Texas) 7 Mar 2009

20. S. M. (California) 7 Mar 2009

21. A. J. () 7 Mar 2009

22. S. A. B. (New York) 7 Mar 2009

23. H. L. H. (West Virginia) 7 Mar 2009

There is long standing peer reviewed data demonstrating many risks of electrical pollution. At the least the risks must be acknowledged, re-engineered and controlled.

24. f. f. (Florida) 7 Mar 2009

it is about time that we became aware and acted on the information that we are part electrical and the electromagnetic forces around us can make us sick...our children are deprived of nature and subjected to these forces and then we wonder why they are anxious.

25. S. M. (California) 7 Mar 2009

I am electrosensitive and it is increasingly difficult to practice prudent avoidance of electromagnetic radiation. I suspect the hours I lay awake each night are directly related to the chronic exposure levels of EMR in the environment.

To continue to rollout wireless devices without long term safety standards knowing the controversy surrounding exposure to RF is a CRIME. The World Health Organization should be held responsible.

26. W. G. (California) 7 Mar 2009

27. M. J. J. (California) 7 Mar 2009

28. C. K. () 7 Mar 2009

Mr. President, like many of us signing this petition, you are the father of young children. Please do all you can protect us all from these electromagnetic health risks.

29. G. A. B. (Arizona) 7 Mar 2009

30. J. M. V. (California) 7 Mar 2009

31. J. E. (Washington D.C.) 7 Mar 2009

32. S. V. (Washington) 7 Mar 2009

This affects all of us - please examine alternatives.

33. D. W. (Michigan) 7 Mar 2009

I am already electromagnetically sensitive with the fields as they are.

I cannot tolerate wireless fields. I know you are a good man and would not purposely harm people's health. Please do the right thing.

We could have a wonderful world if we all did the right thing.

34. C. W. B. (California) 7 Mar 2009

To the best of my knowledge, there has never been an environmental impact review of any wireless system in the United States. We are forbidden by the Telecommunications Act from bringing any environmental health considerations into public discussion concerning the licensing of wireless telecommunications. If everything's perfect with wireless, why this fear of public scrutiny? We demand a fair hearing and justice.

35. m. k. (Michigan) 7 Mar 2009

36. P. S. (California) 7 Mar 2009

Europe is so much more advanced than the US on the matter of EMF soft tissue damage.

37. s. s. (Michigan) 7 Mar 2009

Serious health concerns have repeatedly been confirmed through numerous worldwide scientific efforts from extremely credible sources.

38. M. G. (California) 7 Mar 2009

Mr. President,

Put away that blackberry - or at least don't use it in front of your children.

Maria

39. J. H. (California) 7 Mar 2009

It is an undisputed and immutable law of physics that all actions have equal and opposite reactions. In everyday life, that simply means that one cannot get something for nothing. While the industries and those who want unfettered access to wireless services might claim otherwise, there is no doubt that exposure to electromagnetic radiation is harmful.

Please stop forcing those of us who do not want to be exposed to this radiation and all non-human life to be exposed to it just so that people can play with their cell phones or on the internet. Despite their ubiquity, these gadgets and technologies are far from being necessities and are doing harm in ways we do not even know, because the industries and their supporters do not want these harms to be known.

40. G. S. (California) 7 Mar 2009

Being affected by EMR's is not as rare a condition as you might think. I know several people who must be very careful because of contaminate environments. Their lives are forever altered and there will be more such affected people if this technology becomes universal. There will be no place to escape.

41. K. N. (California) 7 Mar 2009

Ignorance will not defeat the truth!

42. D. L. (California) 7 Mar 2009

43. A. M. (North Carolina) 8 Mar 2009

Wireless radiation is killing us. It explodes the growth of disease causing bacteria, disrupts DNA and cell/organ communication and causes numerous types

of cancer and other diseases.
Stop the insanity but stopping the wireless radiation craze.

44. K. S. (California) 8 Mar 2009

45. C. B. (Virginia) 8 Mar 2009

I am one of the many people living with electrical hypersensitivity. It has negatively impacted my life and I am unable to work, use public transportation of any kind, and cannot even leave my house without being affected by electromagnetic fields. There is no known location where I am completely safe. The entire population is being negatively affected more than is currently known. Releasing actual previous research results and conducting new, current tests and research for comparison are the only ways to realize the harm being caused by this technology. The hazards will only worsen unless someone who has the ability to stop it finally has the courage to act. Thank You.

46. T. F. (Tennessee) 8 Mar 2009

47. P. W. (Colorado) 8 Mar 2009

Please consider the seriousness of this issue and the health that is being compromised especially of our children and of those who already have ill health due to other factors.

48. J. F. P. (California) 8 Mar 2009

49. K. A. K. (California) 8 Mar 2009

This is so critical. Please, Please, let us catch up to the thinking of the Europeans and realize that this wireless issue is a real threat to our health and climate. Thank you for taking this seriously.

50. S. A. W. (California) 8 Mar 2009

I believe that as a nation, we should be sure that the direction we go, is safe not harmful for the earth and it's inhabitants. Such is not the case with the way we've proceeded in the field of electric-magnetic radiation.

Personally, I find cell phones very uncomfortable to use. Many years ago I had one for a couple of years and gave it up when I heard the research about phones. Last year, I tried one again, to use just for an emergency. After about 6 weeks, I discontinued it's use, after I had dental problems. I always used the phone on only 1 side of my head. On that side of my face my dental problems are much more severe! Related happenings? I sense they are! But who knows. I just know that I have a weird feeling when I use cell phones so no longer do so.

PLEASE consider this seriously! Let's take the country in a new direction. A direction where the safety of the people come first! Where 'business and profit' doesn't rule the land. Children, are even more sensitive than adults. Let us protect the children and take action NOW!

I'm So delighted that we have a President, who listens to the people...and is open to new ways of leading this country!

51. R. G. (California) 8 Mar 2009

I am one of those individuals that are highly affected by Wi-Fi and Cell phone towers. My life is getting quite limited now because it's everywhere. Please consider what this is doing to the sick, the older generation....and most importantly our children. Thank you for considering this.

52. R. D. (California) 8 Mar 2009

53. D. B. (California) 8 Mar 2009

I feel the impact on my own body, however, you may not. Does this mean it is not harming us? If we are not positive, then don't we have made enough mistakes in past years. Time to contemplate the outcomes this time..... DB

54. V. S. (California) 8 Mar 2009

55. K. O. (California) 8 Mar 2009

Please follow the trends towards precaution that is happening elsewhere.

56. M. H. (Massachusetts) 8 Mar 2009

I am a scientist now volunteering 100% of my time to fighting global warming, so I am definitely for energy efficiency and an efficient, "smart" grid, but my previous research on the biological effects of radio frequency and electromagnetic radiation leads me to oppose implementing wireless technologies, and I would even advocate rolling back all wireless telecommunication technologies such as cell phone antennas and local wireless networks, replacing them with land lines (cables), and moving radio and TV antennas away from densely populated areas and wildlife refuges.

Please check out my detailed website on biological effects, including proposed mechanisms of RF/EMF radioation, and some local, actual measurements in Massachusetts, compared with international standards.
<http://freewebs.com/maggiezhou/wirelessharm.htm>

57. O. J. () 9 Mar 2009

58. S. T. (California) 9 Mar 2009

59. E. O. () 9 Mar 2009

I am the Director for the UK Charity the EM Radiation Research Trust (RRT) www.radiationresearch.org; I am also a member of the UK Health Protection Agency, EMF Discussion Group.

I discovered that I was living in a cancer cluster around a phone mast in the UK, which I have since discovered is one of many. Since surviving breast cancer I have campaigned for many years lobbying the UK and European Parliament in order to encourage the authorities to adopt the precautionary approach for wireless technology. I have provided verbal and written evidence at many Inquires in the UK and Europe including the House of Commons, UK Health Protection Agency, WHO, the European Parliament in Brussels and the Liverpool and Birmingham City Council Inquiries into phone masts.

Please protect the future of our children by following the precautionary approach and do not allow unregulated and untested wireless technology to put their health at risk.

60. D. A. G. () 9 Mar 2009

61. M. N. (California) 9 Mar 2009

62. S. C. (Wisconsin) 9 Mar 2009

This is a very important issue. Health impacts must be well understood BEFORE any more wireless pollution is imposed on us.

63. D. A. D. (Minnesota) 9 Mar 2009

64. M. B. S. (Colorado) 9 Mar 2009**65. K. A. B. (California) 9 Mar 2009**

Is it really wise to put all your eggs into one basket? What will happen to the electric grid when the next big solar storm hits? Are you ready to offer disability and transportation out of the country for electric sensitive individuals, such as myself, when you choose to implement a plan that ignores the earth's and body's wisdom?

66. B. F. (California) 9 Mar 2009**67. L. K. R. (Arizona) 9 Mar 2009**

I cannot live in my house due to the amount of cell antennas in this area.

68. V. J. (New Mexico) 9 Mar 2009

Dear President Obama,

I am a librarian and I was very happy to vote for you. If you implement universal wireless, I and thousands of others will be driven from our homes--with nowhere to go, since "universal" means "everywhere." Please read the literature we provided. This issue is real. Thank you.

69. V. R. H. (California) 9 Mar 2009

I know many refuse to believe that emf's are harmful. Shouldn't we err on the side of caution? Just because we can is not a good reason to do something. I know you are a techie, but over and over technology has proved to be harmful and wireless is.

70. E. S. (New Hampshire) 9 Mar 2009**71. R. L. (California) 9 Mar 2009**

I'm a technology exec in Silicon Valley and became hypersensitive to electromagnetic fields in February 2006. Since then I have suffered head shocks, burning, rashes, sleep disturbances, memory loss, concentration issues, heart palpitations and more than 20 other symptoms from all forms of wireless technologies. A pain hits my head 5-15 seconds before a cell phone rings nearby. I can detect wireless networks by the sharp rodent-like biting across my scalp. I am affected by frequencies both from power-line fields (60 hertz) and radio frequencies (300 kilohertz to 300 Gigahertz).

I understand that skeptics abound. I was an early adopter. I would never have believed that tiny radio waves that I could neither see, nor feel could affect my health. I bought my first cell phone in 1990 and began using WiFi (remember Ricochet?) in 2000. As a technology consultant, I've worked extensively with Cingular Wireless, Apple (iPhone), Microsoft (Xbox) and Google. I am anything but techno-phobic. Still you might use my experience and hundreds of thousands like me to consider the bet we are making that these signals are not harmful.

If you do the research, you'll see that these waves are probably affecting us all. 632 studies link 51 bioeffects to low-level, radio-frequency signals (see www.marinproject.org for a complete listing). The BioInitiative Report (www.biolinitiative.org) released August 2007 by 14 international scientists, reviewed nearly 2000 studies and concluded a strong connection between electromagnetic fields and radio frequency (RF) signals to brain tumors, immune dysfunction, DNA damage, genetic aberrations, Leukemia, cancer, depression, suicide, ADD, Autism and Alzheimer's. You also might check out www.wirelessStress.com to see how everyday nagging symptoms like headaches, anxiety, sleep issues and joint pain, which we typically attribute to the stresses of modern-day lifestyles, may in fact be triggered by our increasingly wireless world. Test it out: if you have been having sleep problems, or are feeling cloudy, or feeling over-stressed, especially in the past 5 years, unplug your wireless router and turn off your cell phone and move your alarm clock more than 5 feet from your body for a week and notice the difference.

We need radio-free zones to return to our former health.

72. G. H. (California) 9 Mar 2009**73. S. M. G. (California) 9 Mar 2009****74. B. E. S. (California) 9 Mar 2009**

I am an attorney who is now 100% disabled by radiation from wireless devices. I currently cannot find a home free from the effects of this technology. The physical symptoms are akin to a low level of electricity running through my nervous system all the time. IT creates exhaustion, difficulty functioning at any level. I was previously a productive and energetic member of our society. It is heart breaking to me to experience this. It is also sad to see from my vantage point, where I can actually feel the devastating effects of this technology, what we are doing to our fellow citizens without their consent or any concern for this completely unregulated health hazard.

75. F. W. (Texas) 9 Mar 2009

I am affected by chemical sensitivities & electromagnetic sensitivities. I suffer from severe headaches, nosebleeds, heart papitations, rashes, memory loss, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, tremors & blurred vision along with many other disabilities. Electromagnetic fieds are a severe health hazard.

76. A. K. (California) 9 Mar 2009**77. D. M. J. P. (California) 10 Mar 2009**

Please adopt the precautionary principle, as have the Europeans. Please do not assume that technology which affects cells in the human body is safe in the absence of long-term experiments proving that it is.

Note that in the 1950s and later the motto of "Beter living through chemicals" led to the use of many chemicals (DDT, chlordane, dursban and other organophosphates) which are now banned because the tests were post facto.

78. C. A. D. (Minnesota) 10 Mar 2009

We have a wireless net over our community now, and my health has suffered noticeably since that took place, even though I use no wireless devices in my home or my home office. Please read these materials carefully. Thank you for paying attention to this issue.

79. P. G. (California) 10 Mar 2009**80. P. R. B. (Michigan) 10 Mar 2009**

I work on helping people reduce their EMF and RF exposure and can provide an abundance of proof including video of tests done which clearly show EMF radiation dangers.

I personally discovered how damaging electromagnetic fields (EMF) are. I had so many symptoms I felt I was dying. Being near power lines, cell phone towers, transformers, TVs, computers, fluorescent lights, electric motors and other appliances had always made me feel ill. I think everyone has the intuitive sense that these things are not good for us, but since EMF is invisible, odorless and is under the radar of most of our senses we tend to ignore this high frequency noise that silently bombards our bodies and minds.

After having our house wiring tested for EMF I was shocked at how high the Graham Stetzer meter levels were. I did some research on EMF and tried several types of power line filters. What I found is that the Stetzerizer filters from Stetzer Electric (web link:

[http:// www.stetzerelectric.com/filters/](http://www.stetzerelectric.com/filters/)) worked the best. After installing them my body went through 3 days of detoxification followed by me regaining my strength, more restful sleep and a rash I had for 20 years cleared up. Now I am helping other people test their homes and businesses for EMF, install filters and reduce their exposure.

Another problem is the electric power companies excessively grounding their neutral lines to the Earth which is against the National Electric Code standards. Donald Zipse and many others have proved this causes serious ground current problems that are making livestock and people sick. I have talked to farmers who have lost as many as six cows in one day from electrocution! People in Michigan, Wisconsin and Minnesota are all having the same struggles with the power companies. The utilities continue to lie and deny that there is any problem even though we can test with our meters and prove there are serious health risks associated with the high levels of current coursing through our homes and land. We must work together to eliminate these neutral to Earth ground currents that are damaging our health, the health of animals and micro organisms that are needed to keep the Earth fertile. Our National Electric Code needs to be rewritten and enforced to reflect the realities of EMF pollution. Only then should we move forward to upgrade our ways of generating and distributing electricity as well as communications.

If you want to reduce our health care costs start with reducing our exposure to EMF! There is mounting evidence from the scientific community all over the world that most of our health problems are directly caused by EMF pollution. I agree with Joe Kenning that we could reduce our health care costs at least by half through reducing our exposure to electromagnetic fields and ever increasing neutral to Earth ground currents. This not only makes sense, it will save billions of dollars! I have been to homes in Dearborn, Michigan where the current measured with an amp meter on home water pipes is as much as 6.5 Amps! It only takes 50 milliamps to stop your heart. That is 50 thousandths of ONE AMP! This continuing sham by the power companies and corporate driven greed to create profits at the expense of our health has got to stop NOW!

This is much bigger than "global warming." The Earth has an electric field, a magnetic field and a Spirit as we do. EMF is tearing apart the Earth's vital life force currents that allow all life to exist on this beautiful planet. Large power grids crisscross the Mother Earth blocking off and poisoning her natural flowing energy field. The oppressive influence of cell phone towers, radio and TV stations, sonar, radar, even satellites in space are invading the environment with EMF and radio frequencies that were never supposed to be there! If we do not act in time the Mother Earth herself will pull the plug!

Did you ever wonder why the weather is wacky or why many people are increasingly irritated, angry and driven to insane acts? EMF!

We can have safe electricity and appliances with better health as well. Everyone will reap wonderful benefits if we all work together to solve these power quality and EMF issues. EMF pollution is something that can be easily fixed! Doing so will create new more efficient industries, jobs and a higher quality of life for everyone.
EMFzapsHumanity.com

81. H. A. (Colorado) 10 Mar 2009

I personally discovered and I am experiencing now how damaging electromagnetic fields (EMF) are. The power lines in my area are very deteriorated (have corroded neutrals) because the distribution cables that were installed in the area are not jacketed and neutrals are of 1/3 reduced size (information from the Power Company. The stray voltages on our metallic water and gas pipes and in the residential area are a result of this corrosion and deterioration. Putting more load on such decaying infrastructure will not improve these conditions. It will only add to more problems.

High levels of EMFs and RF (although invisible) are harmful to all living organisms and people and there is more and more scientific data that proves that. Our homes should be the places that we have the freedom to choose the level and kind of technology we want to bring into them. For now cell phone towers are being installed on school properties and immediately in our neighborhoods, and we do not have any control to limit this.

Our Country's distribution system is to outdated and deteriorated to be loaded with more technology.

I am writing this from my own experience, knowing how difficult it is to get the Public Utilities to correct the problems when there is sufficient data that they exist. We do not want to add more problems to the already existing.

82. g. p. (California) 10 Mar 2009

times that I've lived outdoors and away from power lines have been generally happy and healthy times for me....

83. K. L. M. (Washington) 10 Mar 2009

I clear homes, schools and offices of electrical pollution and have not yet in seven years found even ONE room that was not polluted and in the places I have analyzed and corrected (with instruments), I have not had even one instance where the health and well being of the occupants was not improved with the exception of a family living under power lines where no correction was possible.

84. J. M. (Colorado) 10 Mar 2009

I am sick from EMR, throw up under cell towers and florescent lights. I am medically documented. There is becoming no safe places. Please, Mr Obama, save our health from a future involving massive electrostress.

85. B. A. B. (California) 10 Mar 2009

the cell phone companies attachments to the electric companies lines have gone from 500rf to 2500rf in the past year. I feel they should be incased in the same casings as can be used in underground placement of the electrical lines. Casings are being used to disfuse the emf emmissions that have been related to leukemia increases. I live on the second floor next to an alley with our bedroom windows 3 feet from electric lines with ATT rf boxes attached. Before Cricket and ATT attached their boxes and lines, I had Sempra SDG&E do an EMF reading.... it was 14. At this time 1 'barrel' was on the pole..... Later after the complaint and concern which I was told would only be upgraded for new construction, 3 'Barrels' appeared on the pole. Thinking this would be producing more EMFs I called for a reading and discovered the upgrade. and! an EMF reading at the window of only 3. That's a huge improvement from 14 down to 3.

When I would enter the alley before the upgrade, I would have a heaviness in my heart and a flash of pain.

In areas where huge foam rapped boxes are hanging from electric wires, I have the same chest pains and palpitations and must leave the area.

In this apartment we are faced with close proximity to black mold, high rf's extreme carbon from freeways, and maybe emf elevations from time to time.

With wireless now, it is hard to escape the damages of electronic fields and unhealthy air.

86. P. C. (Wisconsin) 10 Mar 2009

I suffer from EMF sensitivity, it has made life unbearable, I call on your administration to afford me some rights to peace, I view electro-smog like second-hand smoke, I have a right to not be exposed to it.

87. M. E. (Arizona) 10 Mar 2009

88. B. B. (California) 10 Mar 2009

This will be a huge issue for health care in this country in the future. EMF has been implicated in all kinds of cancer, autism and dementia. The wireless companies have been entirely unregulated to date. This is about to change in Europe. Lets learn from their experience.
Thank you.

89. D. C. (Minnesota) 10 Mar 2009**90. R. J. G. (Arizona) 10 Mar 2009**

I lost my wife to environmental illness, in part exacerbated by RF pollution. For those who are vulnerable, there is no place to hide and it is a horrible way to die.

91. C. C. (California) 10 Mar 2009**92. V. T. (Arizona) 10 Mar 2009**

Please, its time to stop the insanity and do some real research on the dangers of wireless because health should come first.

93. B. B. (Illinois) 11 Mar 2009**94. K. H. (Georgia) 11 Mar 2009**

Major health problems improve when we leave areas with high power densities.

95. J. L. (Illinois) 11 Mar 2009**96. J. M. (Maryland) 11 Mar 2009****97. A. C. (Minnesota) 11 Mar 2009****98. L. W. (Wisconsin) 11 Mar 2009**

Stop invisible pollution!

99. C. P. (Florida) 11 Mar 2009**100. K. L. (Wisconsin) 11 Mar 2009**

[Sign your name](#)

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#)



[About Us](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Contact Us](#) | ©2006 WAV Research



Petition to Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#)

101. D. S. (Florida) 11 Mar 2009

Fiber optics technology is ideal in that it does not produce Electromagnetic Radiation, Radio Frequencies or microwave transmissions and has the highest speed and capacity for communications technology. Wireless can be connected to it with greatly expanded speed and capacity in the locations it is needed. With fiber optics installed as community infrastructure this combination can help reduce electro smog health effects and give citizens choices and 'safe zones' that are free from Electro Magnetic Radiation and RF or wireless radio frequencies that are now being shown to cause negative health effects. Thank you for your attention to this important health issue in our nation.

102. D. G. (Oregon) 11 Mar 2009

Public health and safety will be better served by an update of these regulations, to reflect current scientific knowledge. It is important that the regulations serve this important public interest, not corporate interests in maximizing profits for the least cost while externalizing whatever costs they can to others.

103. S. B. (California) 11 Mar 2009

Please consider the implications if we don't act on this...as the European Union makes its decisions on the precautionary principle

104. C. C. W. (California) 11 Mar 2009

105. t. e. s. (Hawaii) 12 Mar 2009

106. J. (Ohio) 12 Mar 2009

107. C. E. M. (Pennsylvania) 12 Mar 2009

I have been ill since the advent and proliferation of wireless technology, especially so since the new 3G mobile phone technology came out, and I am now in a panic over wireless broadband. I feel so ill when I drive through some neighborhoods now because of it, and I've had to isolate myself from society. This is no way to live. I can't work, can't visit my family, can't sleep. I beg of you to do something to protect us and to do so immediately. Please don't wait for more industry-biased studies to be done. The honeybees are dying. The bats are dying. The amphibians are dying. Please, we don't have time.

108. C. L. C. (Florida) 12 Mar 2009

Let's use the Precautionary Principle so that harmful technologies, procedures & substances are not ever used.

109. O. G. (California) 12 Mar 2009

Precaution, preaction, precaution... This issue needs to be candidly discussed by all parties. we cannot ignore scientific data any longer nor can we allow the only study of the effects of said technologies to be done by the very companies that push said technologies, its a trust issue.. Who is looking out for the people?

110. H. R. (California) 12 Mar 2009

I urge you to consider all the information and research showing the impact these technologies have already had on our health and the environment... specially in the impact it has had on children.

You will not be the first to take precautionary action... Europe has been way ahead in taking care of its people in this regard ... I hope you do the same for your people, us in the US.

There's an other technology you can look into and consider - Fiber Optics (FIO's)

111. R. M. (New Mexico) 13 Mar 2009

I have been suffering with severe radiation sensitivity from a Wi-Fi tower near where I live. Please stop this insanity and set some new laws which reduce levels of radiation that are badly microwaving the planet.

112. K. C. (Wisconsin) 13 Mar 2009

113. P. P. (New Jersey) 13 Mar 2009

114. S. F. L. (Washington) 14 Mar 2009

115. M. S. (Iowa) 15 Mar 2009

Mr. President,

Please be aware that the next time you, your wife, or your children become ill, it is not caused by "germs", it is more probably caused by improper electricity/radiation.

116. A. D. (Washington) 15 Mar 2009

I've spent a great deal of time learning about the health impacts of RF since a broadcaster determined to build an AM radio tower farm near my house. I don't think sufficient attention is being paid to the potential health impacts of broadband over power lines. Our current regulations aren't aligned with scientific evidence, or with standards that other countries have set. Please don't thicken the electrosmog we are now faced with unless and until a full analysis of the potential health impacts has been completed. Thank you, Angela

117. K. W. (Massachusetts) 16 Mar 2009**118. J. F. P. (California) 16 Mar 2009****119. D. W. (Massachusetts) 16 Mar 2009**

Mr. President,

When will the our Government look at the evidence that indicates human exposure to wireless technology is harmful? When will our Government heed the warnings of the known scientific evidence? When will our government change the policy to enact new regulations and laws to those which protect the American public from exposure to radiation from wireless technology? When will our Government take precautionary action to protect the health and wellbeing of all Americans? When, Mr. President?

Will it take an epidemic of disease and death. What about young children using cell phones? What about countless American's living and working within close proximity to cell antennas. When, Mr. President? When?

Time is of the essence to take precautionary measures. It is time to correct the wrong and injustice that continues to be perpetrated upon the American people that has made it almost impossible for us to protect our health, safety, finances and wellbeing from the almost ubiquitous exposure of radiofrequency radiation from wireless technology.

The American people are caught between the restrictions of Section 704 of the Telecom Act of 1996 which prevent us from being allowed by law to stop cell antennas near our homes and children and the outdated FCC (so-called) safety standards which preempts our own right to regulate exposure to wireless radiation. We, the American people are caught between a rock and a hard place. This is what our Government is doing to us.

Do not make the situation worse by building out broadband infrastructure by wireless technology. Mr. President, protect us or give us the right to protect ourselves. Either amend the Telecom Act to allow Americans to say no to wireless exposure or change the FCC standards to precautionary standards.

120. L. B. (California) 16 Mar 2009

I am a health educator with a syndicated radio show for fifteen years. I have interviewed all the major researchers and public policy experts across the globe on the topic of known health effects of wireless technologies. I am now certain that unless there is some public health oversight this will become more dangerous than smoking was in the last century. At least people could chose whether or not to be exposed, although the science about the health impact was well censored. These new technologies trespass on people in their homes and at work without their knowledge and consent. I love technology and science. Studying about it and teaching it is my life's work. I wouldn't give up my access to the internet for anything. But using it with a cable is faster and more secure in addition to being safer. And telecommunications companies don't disclose options or research. They don't have to, the telecommunications act protects them. How about the rest of us?

121. S. R. L. (California) 16 Mar 2009

I have been electrically sensitive and know how devastating this condition can be. I have seen more and more people become sensitive as the overall load of pollutants and toxins grows. We must be very careful what we do in this area of our lives, for the implications are severe and not easily reversible.

122. T. K. (California) 16 Mar 2009**123. R. B. M. (Arizona) 17 Mar 2009****124. R. B. M. (Arizona) 17 Mar 2009**

I'm an former engineering R&D manager and GS-13 computer systems analyst. I am very concerned about my own future with severe electrical sensitivity and for the general public health from wireless broadband, continued build out of cellular, and now BPL. It was once possible for people with ES and MCS to live out their lives in very rural locations...now these have been encroached upon and our survival is threatened.

For a true investment in the future bandwidth of America, there is only one sane choice, and that is fiber optic.

125. J. G. (Arizona) 17 Mar 2009

For people with severe electrical sensitivity, living near neighborhoods that are blanketed with wireless technology is nothing less than a nightmare. We are forced to retreat to rural, uninhabited areas. Finally free from other people's uncontrolled electric pollution, now we are faced with the threat of a new wireless agenda for rural, underserved areas. We beg you, President Obama, to use only safe materials that will not add to our nightmare. We all deserve to live free from the constant threat of heart attacks, seizures, and other severe neurological symptoms that come from our exposure to wireless technology. We weren't always this way; this condition develops from overexposure to electro-pollution, something we are all exposed to unknowingly throughout our lifetimes. Children today are at even greater risk than ever before.

126. J. S. G. (California) 17 Mar 2009**127. E. K. (New Jersey) 17 Mar 2009****128. J. B. (Arizona) 17 Mar 2009****129. T. F. (Tennessee) 17 Mar 2009**

I cannot even leave my house anymore due to the EMF radiation. I am housebound and almost totally bedbound from lightheadedness, difficulty in breathing, migraines, nausea, pain throughout my body, total incapacitating fatigue, etc. I only have webtv (msntv) as my operating system. My landline is an old-fashioned corded phone that I have used for many years. I tried a router in the house for internet connection via wi-fi, but had a continuous bout of vomiting and splitting migraine. After I took the router out the vomiting and the constant migraine disappeared. I still have problems, but it is not as bad. I'm afraid my neighborhood is still irradiating me with their routers and cell phones.

Please, consider everyone's health and not allow broadband throughout the U.S.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter. I have very high respects for you as our President!

130. s. m. (California) 17 Mar 2009

131. M. E. (California) 17 Mar 2009

132. L. S. (California) 17 Mar 2009

133. R. F. (California) 17 Mar 2009

134. R. L. (New York) 17 Mar 2009

135. I. Z. (California) 17 Mar 2009

136. I. Z. (California) 17 Mar 2009

137. C. O. (California) 17 Mar 2009

138. T. A. T. (California) 17 Mar 2009

139. M. F. K. (California) 17 Mar 2009

140. F. C. (California) 18 Mar 2009

141. F. M. B. (Michigan) 18 Mar 2009

142. L. W. (California) 18 Mar 2009

143. J. K. D. (California) 18 Mar 2009

Thank you.

144. A. F. B. (Arizona) 18 Mar 2009

145. J. B. (California) 18 Mar 2009

146. M. C. (California) 18 Mar 2009

147. M. N. (California) 18 Mar 2009

148. R. B. (Florida) 18 Mar 2009

149. K. J. K. (California) 18 Mar 2009

I think that it's crucial to consider the effects of electromagnetic radiation on the health of people and wildlife, before we budget further money toward proliferation of wireless technology. Much good research seems to be ignored because the oversight is in the hands of moneyed interests. Wireless solutions permeate America, which for real broadband improvement needs more fiber optic connectivity. Fiber is more secure and safe from terrorist activity and illegitimate surveillance. I thank you for considering this petition, on behalf not only of myself but of those who are unaware of the issues and research, and on behalf of future generations.

150. A. P. K. (California) 18 Mar 2009

I hear all the time about people reporting the negative impact of wireless radiation and how it affects the health and quality of life for many people. The time has come for the people who can take charge and change the situation to notice this negative impact. Thank you for taking the time to look into this important issue.

151. E. G. (Maine) 19 Mar 2009

152. C. S. (Washington) 19 Mar 2009

Please reconsider this.

153. D. G. (Arizona) 19 Mar 2009

154. j. s. (California) 19 Mar 2009

155. A. J. (Michigan) 20 Mar 2009

So many aspects of new technology have to be reviewed in terms of the impact on electromagnetic fields of humans and plants.

156. E. B. (California) 20 Mar 2009

Please pay attention to and act on this petition. It is a matter of public health for this and future generations. The health hazards of the wireless infrastructure are debilitating at best, deadly at worst.

157. J. K. M. (California) 20 Mar 2009

158. M. S. (California) 20 Mar 2009

I strongly agree with this petition and have read about the increasing health concerns of RF radiation. We do not know the long term effects of this exposure on the population. It is particularly problematic for young children.

159. D. A. (California) 20 Mar 2009

160. W. D. (California) 20 Mar 2009

I have never failed in believing in the potential danger of overusing cell phones or being exposed to the powerful antenna radiation of their providers. The cost of safety will outweigh the cost of harmful health damages in the future.

161. D. B. (California) 21 Mar 2009

162. S. H. (California) 21 Mar 2009

163. S. H. (California) 21 Mar 2009**164. A. F. (North Carolina) 21 Mar 2009**

Universal wireless is the most insane unmonitored human experiment human beings have been exposed to so far. Plenty of research already done indicates grave dangers to our brains and immune function. Please oppose universal wireless and broadband to safeguard our health and the health of future generations.

165. B. W. (California) 21 Mar 2009**166. S. F. (California) 21 Mar 2009****167. N. A. (California) 21 Mar 2009**

Not only is wireless dangerous to human biology, there is a significant security concern, because all traffic is exposed to sniffing. Encryption standards always claim to be secure, but then someone finds a way to crack them. History shows this has happened time and again. Limiting widespread use of wireless will help contain potential exposure of privileged and confidential information to evil-doers.

168. J. B. (California) 21 Mar 2009

We have too many environmental assaults on our health; we definitely don't need to add more.

169. I. B. (California) 21 Mar 2009**170. S. M. (California) 21 Mar 2009****171. L. M. (New Hampshire) 21 Mar 2009****172. J. R. (California) 22 Mar 2009****173. M. H. (California) 22 Mar 2009**

I support the expansions proposed for broadband use, especially for under-served communities, and argue that this does not have to be an either/or health situation. Please support health-safe broadband expansion. Thank you.

174. D. H. (New York) 22 Mar 2009**175. P. K. (Massachusetts) 22 Mar 2009****176. A. S. K. (Massachusetts) 22 Mar 2009**

Let's keep our broadband access healthy and safe: go with fiber optics and cables. Wireless exposure contributes to electrosmog.

177. W. A. J. (Pennsylvania) 22 Mar 2009

I know of an individual who suffers from the radiation emitted by the cell phone towers and I believe that I myself may be experiencing symptoms that could be related to exposure. Namely asthma, & joint discomfort which doctor's are unable to link to any cause.

178. H. W. (Oregon) 22 Mar 2009**179. D. A. G. (California) 23 Mar 2009****180. K. E. B. (California) 23 Mar 2009**

This is a difficult thing for many Americans, to confront the fact that convenience should be trumped by public health. Still, it is the right thing to do.

181. M. E. S. (California) 23 Mar 2009

I am a physical therapist in private practice. Because my office is exposed to wifi, I must use energy support devices (e.g. clarus pendants and the like) to maintain normal electrical circuitry in my clients as I work on them. Otherwise their systems "crash" and I can - not evaluate and treat them appropriately. I must also do the same for myself. Wifi is definitely not helpful to people who are unwell.

182. P. H. (California) 23 Mar 2009**183. S. H. () 24 Mar 2009****184. S. L. N. (California) 24 Mar 2009**

I have a severe sensitivity to these frequencies. We need to reconsider this technology.

185. D. S. (Arkansas) 24 Mar 2009

I have suffered from brain cancer that I believe is a direct result of cell phone transmission frequencies.

186. P. G. (California) 24 Mar 2009

Please note the following information:

19 March 2009

Relay antennas: a new judgement and a ministerial crisis in France

In the face of widespread protest and numerous lawsuits there is now a ministerial crisis in the country. Apparently in the last few days the unconfirmed number of 135 lawsuits have been launched! In an effort to clarify the situation, Next-up Organisation is putting out a countrywide appeal in order to find out the exact number of lawsuits in progress or about to be introduced.

At the request of the Prime Minister the round table on relay antennas, which was supposed to take place on 26 March 2009 with the participation of

three ministers (Roselyne Bachelot-Narquin, Minister for Health, Chantale Jouanno, Secretary for Ecology and Nathalie Kosciusko-Morizet, Secretary for the Development of the Digital Economy), has been postponed to the end of April. In the face of the rejection of relay antennas that is gaining ground among the public, on 26 March there will instead be an emergency meeting with certain ministers and the mobile phone companies only. In spite of his reassuring statements, which are based notably on the WHO reports (!), it seems that the Prime Minister is discovering the truth about the health problems of relay antennas.

Every day there are dozens of newspaper articles and TV reports that highlight the growing awareness among the public of the health problems associated with artificial microwave radiation. According to the polls, it seems that the tide is now turning. People no longer believe the reassuring speeches from the authorities, but are beginning to think that the NGOs and the independent scientists are right to sound the alarm.

And that is not the worst of it: Next-up Organisation has just been sent a copy of a document classed as confidential coming from the research department of Electricité de France (EDF), the official producer and distributor of electricity in France. This document, written more than 25 years ago, describes the functioning of the human metabolism (which is based on bio-electromagnetic energy) and the harmful effects of interacting electromagnetic fields on the human being, and outlines the policy for informing the public.

In view of the confidentiality of this document issued by an official body, the first part has been put on line with a password for subscribers to Next-up News. Available in French at the following address: www.next-up.org/France/EDF.php The essential paragraphs (a dozen pages) are in process of being translated into English.

187. S. R. M. (Michigan) 24 Mar 2009

when will we as a nation get our priorities straight? Our health, welfare and less technology and a more natural life-style should be a work in progress. I hate to see how our childrens children will be looking and acting.

188. J. T. (California) 25 Mar 2009

189. A. H. (California) 25 Mar 2009

190. R. R. (California) 25 Mar 2009

191. M. A. (Connecticut) 25 Mar 2009

192. S. E. H. (California) 26 Mar 2009

We have to get this right.

Reference BioInitiative.com. The report to the public makes it clear that living beings are adversely affected by an abundance of electromagnetic phenomena.

The European Union has urgently asked manufacturers and government entities to find ways of minimizing electronic phenomena as a precaution against harming the public. We should do no less and could even do better: Take the lead.

193. J. D. B. (Wisconsin) 26 Mar 2009

194. L. G. (New York) 27 Mar 2009

195. D. T. D. (Colorado) 27 Mar 2009

Electropollution is a serious threat to public health. Now is the time to examine the research and to promote a truly beneficial method for providing a global communications network.

196. N. N. (Ohio) 28 Mar 2009

Please protect our right to chose not to be exposed to wireless radiation.

197. K. H. (Rhode Island) 28 Mar 2009

This issue is extremely important to me as my entire family is experiencing debilitating effects from Wifi, WiMax and 3G transmissions which are becoming very difficult to avoid. Please consider the science, as this will affect this nation's productivity within a few years. Effects are cumulative and take time. Reversing the health effects is not easy. So once you are injured, you need to do many things to recover.

Thanks,

Kathy

198. D. S. (Rhode Island) 28 Mar 2009

Dear Obama Administration,

Please help on this-you are our hope

199. A. M. J. (Minnesota) 28 Mar 2009

The biological effects due to increased rf radiation must be examined and considered before steps are taken to introduce universal broadband. Each frequency has a unique bioactivity that must be determined. Universal wireless, broadband over power lines, and "Smart Grid" technology mean that exposure to radiation is involuntary, and that the more vulnerable members of society have nowhere to go to escape it. We must also consider impacts to birds, insects and other wildlife.

200. D. P. G. (California) 28 Mar 2009

Please cease the proliferation of this hazard.

[Sign your name](#)

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#)



[About Us](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Contact Us](#) | ©2006 WAV Research



Petition to Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#)

201. E. M. (California) 29 Mar 2009

The microwaves are totally invasive and unavoidable. Please research this matter and take better precautions to protect innocent citizens.
Thank you,
Elizabeth

202. H. L. H. M. (West Virginia) 29 Mar 2009

Existing studies of various forms of dispersed electrical radiation suggest a significant hazard to the population at large. It is extremely foolhardy to increase population exposure before we learn more. Recognizing the usefulness of broadband, let's at least provide for distributing it via cable rather than through the air as in WiFi. Thank you.

203. L. W. () 29 Mar 2009

204. G. W. (New Mexico) 29 Mar 2009

205. A. W. (New Mexico) 29 Mar 2009

206. J. S. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

207. I. m. c. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

208. D. C. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

209. p. k. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

210. M. S. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

211. a. (Missouri) 30 Mar 2009

This is a radical idea since we all want faster Wireless, TV, , cable and cell phones, etc., but one worth revisiting to check out possible danger to birds, whales, and all species.

212. m. k. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

213. m. h. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

214. S. B. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

It is our new form of "second hand smoke", but much more insidious.

215. R. B. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

216. J. C. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

217. r. g. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

218. C. H. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

219. A. L. (Hawaii) 30 Mar 2009

220. L. C. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Big business has clear predatory tendencies. We can NOT trust them to properly research whether something is safe. When the safety of our entire country is at stake, prudence and wise counsel is necessary.

Big business is eager and ambitious. It is a force to be reckoned with and in truth we are not in a desperate race to transform this aspect of our telecommunications system.

Just because we, as a society may be ignorant of the risks doesn't mean they don't exist. Remember the Roman civilization and the lead poisoning in thier dishes!!!

Environmental poisoning is a VERY serious thing to become educated in and WE AREN'T.

Please take this slowly and do your due dilligence. The health of generations to come is in your care.

221. D. H. (California) 30 Mar 2009

222. K. G. (California) 30 Mar 2009

Something must be done to rectify this immediate concern and all living beings.

223. I. A. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

224. P. F. () 30 Mar 2009

225. m. s. (New York) 30 Mar 2009

226. R. A. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Do not destroy the natural world or toy with the electromagnetic systems within our bodies. We've had enough of technologies that are purportedly safe and ultimately proven not to be so in time. Do not drive us from our homes with this insanity. Enough with technological "progress." Enough!

227. S. C. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

these issues need to be thoroughly explored and the dangers realized. Then we can make good choices that don't endanger us. Until then it's best to proceed with caution to avoid needing to undo a great wrong when we do have enough information.

Thanks,

228. K. T. (Washington) 30 Mar 2009

229. P. N. W. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

This is a foolish and dangerous move for public health. We should be investing in fiberoptic cable instead, for high-speed Internet.

230. M. E. M. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Please, I voted for you knowing you would be ecologically responsible. This universal wireless is a HUGE public health hazard. Get in tune, President Obama with what's really going on here, please. Think of your children and young ones being exposed and bombarded on a regular basis.

231. N. K. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

My whole message just got deleted by some keystroke, but suffice it to say there will be nothing of pure CONVENIENCE in this plan to quarantine the entire public in microwave radiation. If I weren't so damn polite I would go beyond my sense that this insidious INITIATIVE is but one more step in the march to complete population control, or is it population reduction.

Nor am I "hopeful" about Obama on the military front but that's another essay. Like "Told You So: Another 9/11 to put us into Afghanistan, this time for good." etc.

232. S. J. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Please there is so much you can not know as one human being. Please listen to this plea. We can not live without other species. Our lives depend on mutual support of many species of the world. Please give this some very real consideration. For all of our lives and the lives of our children.....and yours.

233. J. Z. (California) 30 Mar 2009

234. R. G. (California) 30 Mar 2009

We are already getting to too much harmful radiation. Studies point to serious health dangers from cell phones and power lines. The last thing we need is to expand wireless technology even further. Let's stop this madness!

235. j. b. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

236. C. T. B. (Florida) 30 Mar 2009

You are our President. A president who cares for his people. Millions of people in US and in the World have developed the devastating illness of Electromagnetic Sensitivity(EMS) as a direct result of electromagnetic pollution. Please read my article published in the National Press, "Electromagnetic Sensitivity (EMS) is a worldwide epidemic" at: <http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/view/91709> as well as "Multiple Chemical Sensitivity (MCS) is a Worldwide Environmental Health crisis" at:

<http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/view/89744>

The situation of electromagnetic pollution and Chemical pollution is horrifying, and millions of people in the US desperately need your help for the official medical recognition* by CDC of these devastating environmental illnesses, which has been stopped* for more than 25 years by the powerful anti-MCS /EMS Lobbying of industries, so they would not have to face liabilities and loss of profits, leaving millions of people in agonizing sufferings, and total despair, faced with the medical denial of their illnesses, due to the powerful lobbying of industries who have "governed", Doctors, medical associations, governments health agencies, insurances companies...

Now at a turning point in history, is the only chance for millions of people in the US who suffer agonizingly of MCS and EMS, to have their rights heard, through you, and I know that you will care enough to help us all. Please visit our award winning site MCS Homepage/International MCS/EMS awareness to realize the extent of this national/worldwide health crisis.

<http://www.nettally.com/prusty/mcs.htm>

Please get back in touch with me on these crucial issues. I thank you in advance for caring for the millions of people in the US with MCS and EMS.

May God bless you and your Family,

Very respectfully,

Christiane Tourtet B.A.

Founder/President

International MCS/EMS Awareness

<http://www.nettally.com/prusty/mcs.htm>

237. D. N. C. M. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

238. B. C. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Please take this seriously. I am sensitive to EMF but work full time from home. Making this pollution more widespread will cause people like me to loose our jobs. Beverly Cameron

239. A. D. (California) 30 Mar 2009

240. M. C. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

There is a vast body of research and information that has been suppressed about the dangers of this technology, beginning with the initial evaluation by the U.S. Navy. The severe health repercussions are now beginning to declare themselves in countries that have been using this technology for ten years. Our children are particularly vulnerable. Please honor concern over our health and the health of other living beings that share our space and upon which we depend, over the profit and "convenience" of wireless technology, especially on such a widespread scale. Please stand firm on the Precautionary Principle in this critical matter of our nation's health.

241. G. B. M. (Connecticut) 30 Mar 2009

242. J. H. (Florida) 30 Mar 2009

Please no Wifi...only hardwired cable service and fiber optics.

243. K. j. S. (California) 30 Mar 2009**244. B. M. B. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009**

Please don't allow the debilitating effects of elecgtrosmog to be increased. Our bodies do not want this. What good is technology if our bodies lose their health?!!

245. m. d. a. a. I. (California) 30 Mar 2009

The failure of the Bush administration to review and address wireless safety could be one of its biggest. Please at least check the wireless juggernaut until we can establish safe regulation. Technologies in many sectors are improvements - as long as they are not adding to our problems. Americans are already having trouble thinking straight!

246. C. B. (New York) 30 Mar 2009

The effects are really bad for people with electromagnetic sensitivity. It can totally debilitate some!

247. D. B. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Have we gone completely mad? What are we doing to ourselves, our children and don't forget the animals!???

248. R. N. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

for all of us. we are in this together.

249. K. F. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Please, Mr. President, I'm one of the people who is extremely sensitive. This will effect my health

250. n. w. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009**251. A. R. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009**

Thank you Mr. President for safeguarding everyone's health.

252. R. T. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009

Please look at this risk carefully, dear President Obama (for whom I so very gladly voted, as did my husband). It sounds pretty frightening to me, but maybe I am misreading something. However, I don't think so. Please use your clearly keen intelligence (which your constituents truly appreciate) to examine the issue, and protect us from harm's way. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,
RosalieGrace Thompson

253. D. W. R. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009**254. F. N. T. N. (New Mexico) 30 Mar 2009**

As a physician and in a network of physicians, we are finding new data all the time--especially from European Union sources--that cells phones and this technology is proving to be dangerous.

Please, with all the brilliance and insight of your Presidency (for which I walked the streets and worked very hard), PLEASE give us time to research this before implementing something so ubiquitous and untreatable.

255. m. b. (California) 30 Mar 2009**256. J. M. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009****257. D. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009****258. R. D. (Oregon) 31 Mar 2009****259. T. A. B. S. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009**

Please - we need to continue to have a choice whether we want to be close to microwave radiation - especially since the health effects have not been studied completely

260. S. R. D. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009

Please , NO wireless, use cable or fiber optics. I and so many others are sensitive to electromagnetic radiation. This is a danger to our health and the health of our children. Our immune systems are already over compromised, and unable to compete with all the toxins in our environment. Notice the increased cancer rates, MS,Alzheimers, Parkinsons, and so many other immune system disorders. I beg you to reconsider this application.

261. D. G. (California) 31 Mar 2009**262. r. j. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009**

technology is being controlled by money there are no research into the side effects of our technological world.We are subjects in one of the largest experiments in the history of mankind. rev robert-francis johnson

263. V. P. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009**264. E. C. (California) 31 Mar 2009****265. c. a. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009****266. E. L. (Florida) 31 Mar 2009****267. a. (Alabama) 31 Mar 2009****268. j. m. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009****269. M. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009****270. D. W. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009****271. I. L. (New Mexico) 31 Mar 2009**

272. J. C. (Alaska) 31 Mar 2009

273. A. H. (Alaska) 1 Apr 2009

274. D. M. (New Mexico) 1 Apr 2009

275. G. B. (Utah) 1 Apr 2009

We have created enough mental stress in our overachieving work ethics. Please protect the physical health of our brain functions as well as the physical health of all animals as well.
Thank-you for your concern and action.

276. P. H. (California) 1 Apr 2009

277. E. G. (Maine) 2 Apr 2009

Access to high-speed internet is not a benefit if it is at the expense of our physical and mental health. This is a very, very serious move, and will be enormously expensive to dismantle in the future. Thank you.

278. L. M. (Hawaii) 2 Apr 2009

279. T. S. (California) 2 Apr 2009

As both our president and a father, please take the time to make sure you are committing us to something dangerous to our health. I urge you to take the time to review the science, not the economics of this technology.

280. C. (Arizona) 2 Apr 2009

281. J. H. (Ohio) 2 Apr 2009

Please listen to the voters!!!!

282. E. E. M. (New Mexico) 2 Apr 2009

It is important to think of the potential negative health effects of wireless before thinking about making it universal. As a physician, I have several patients who develop serious neurological problems when they are in the vicinity of wi-fi. It is imperative that we maintain some "safe" areas that are free of wi-fi and other forms of microwave radiation.

283. S. C. (Massachusetts) 3 Apr 2009

Hello,
Please take the time to view our video and read more info for our story. We have witnessed that the technology we are living with has infiltrated our lives and environment without proper knowledge of the impact it has on our environment, wildlife, health and wellbeing. We do not have the trained experts to properly test, measure and diagnose in order to protect our global society. Myself, family and our animals wellbeing and lifestyle is being greatly compromised by this unmitigated problem. The burden should not be on us monetarily to fight for mitigation of this environmental pollution.

We hope that attention will be placed on our issue and the impact that the antiquated Grid and the wireless RF technologies is having on all of us. We can be contacted at 413 644 9630.

Sincerely,
Sandra Chianfoni

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7mLtygxlaFs>

"I have no doubt in my mid that at the present time, the greatest polluting element in the earth's environment is the proliferation of electromagnetic fields.

I consider that to be far greater on a global scale, than warming, and the increase in chemical elements in the environment."

Dr Robert O. Becker, M.D.
twice nominated for Nobel Prize of this research.

284. K. M. (Washington) 3 Apr 2009

With instruments manufactured in Europe, I analyze and correct electromagnetic fields--RFs, Magnetic & Electromagnetic--in homes, schools and offices and for ALL of the ill people whose spaces I correct, doing so ALWAYS helps and in some cases is THE MISSING LINK to their returning to health.

Please, let us get up to date with regard to this great, potential danger to our CHILDREN, no matter what form it takes, whether cell phones or 'smart' meters, towers or antennae. Shouldn't the HEALTH of humanity trump commerce and greed and even convenience?

Many thanks.

285. A. O. (Oregon) 4 Apr 2009

286. D. B. (Wisconsin) 4 Apr 2009

I am personally affected by this type of emissions.

287. G. P. (Virginia) 5 Apr 2009

Wireless has not been tested for safety. Many people are getting sick from the accumulating radiation. Wireless does not give choice to living things. Trees lose their leaves. Bees disappear. Birds don't come back. Cows get sick. Wireless is slow, insecure, unreliable, and we get sick from it. Use wired technology for now until better, safer, technologies are invented by engineers and health scientists.

288. E. K. (New Jersey) 6 Apr 2009

289. L. K. (California) 6 Apr 2009

290. K. K. (California) 6 Apr 2009

291. N. B. (California) 6 Apr 2009

My sensitivity to Wi-Fi, cell towers and phones, and electromagnetic fields has had an enormous impact on my life, making it difficult to find safe housing and nearly impossible to lead a normal life, as so much of our society is now wired, including libraries, theatres, restaurants, motels and hotels, and municipal buildings such as city halls. However, I consider myself fortunate, because I can feel the physical impact of these frequencies and can take steps to avoid them. Most people do not feel them, though they are still experiencing the detrimental health effects, and they continue to buy, use, and spend

time around, these devices. What a horrendous health crisis we are incubating!

292. n. a. (California) 6 Apr 2009

293. S. A. (Wisconsin) 6 Apr 2009

Several years ago I suffered severe cardiac irregularities that turned out to be caused by exposure to radio-frequency radiation. When steps were taken to eliminate this exposure, my heart became totally regular again. This is just one of the many ways that exposure to manmade electromagnetic frequencies affects health. Our hearts function due to electrical signals, but so does our entire body. It would be a tragic mistake to expose everyone in America to broadband as planned.

294. A. G. (Florida) 6 Apr 2009

295. A. M. G. (Indiana) 6 Apr 2009

296. C. S. (California) 6 Apr 2009

I am sensitive to wireless, including cordless and cell phones, cell towers/masts, and wireless computers. I get headaches and other symptoms. Please, do not promote more wireless coverage of this country, we need to be going in the direction of cabled internet and communications, not adding more radiation to our airspace, no matter how convenient it is for most people. Thank you.

297. K. O. (California) 6 Apr 2009

Wireless is today's asbestos, tobacco & thalidomide. It is bad for humans, bees, birds, trees, ...

298. D. H. (Michigan) 6 Apr 2009

I wear a hearing aid, and cell phones, cell towers, Wi-fi, cordless phones and broadband internet all interfere with my hearing and makes it useless. I can not understand anything because of the buzzing and distortion that those devices cause in my hearing aid. I have to avoid going out in public, because of other people's cell phones, if they come within several feet of me. I am a prisoner in my own home, where cell phones and other devices that interfere with my hearing aid, are totally banned. The FCC and manufacturers of cell phones and hearing aids have known about this problem with EMFs for many years, yet nothing is done that will remedy this serious situation for those of us who must rely on hearing aids. I guess the Americans With Disabilities Act doesn't apply to hard of hearing people, when there are profits to be made by big business. I do not want broadband in my home or on my property, because I can't use it, and I can't hear anything when near it. I should be able to use my hearing aid in my own home, without someone forcing something on me, that will make my hearing aid useless. Since I can't hear anything at all without an aid, I would be totally disabled instead of hard-of-hearing. We need the freedom of choice, whether we want broadband or other tech devices or not. They are not a good thing for everyone, and very harmful for some of us. Use common sense, instead of foisting something on us that will cause more harm than good.

299. E. (New York) 6 Apr 2009

Please respect and uphold human beings 'right of a healthy life'!

300. j. k. (New Jersey) 6 Apr 2009

[Sign your name](#)

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#)



[About Us](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Contact Us](#) | ©2006 WAV Research



Petition to Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#), [401](#)

301. B. A. E. (Ohio) 6 Apr 2009

302. S. B. (Washington) 6 Apr 2009

Electromagnetic radiation is a serious problem and the problem is increasing daily with the rapid expansion of broadband. Many don't realize that is already affecting them, however they will develop serious health problems that they may never realize can be attributed to this silent threat.

303. D. S. (Oregon) 6 Apr 2009

Studies should not only be concerned with human health effects, but also plants and animals, insects, fish--all living things we share this planet with. Also, microorganisms may be affected in unforeseen ways, many of which are or may be detrimental to health and life.

304. T. C. (Texas) 6 Apr 2009

I am deeply concerned about the dangers of all wireless technology. Exposure from Cell phone use can be minimized by the average person but there will be no escape from wireless broadband. The evidence for the dangers of this technology has been established. Only the business players who are profiting from wireless and will reap a windfall are scoffing and denigrating the every increasing evidence that this is in fact a danger to human health. Please reexamine the evidence, ignore the business lobbyist and do the right thing- eliminate funding for the dangerous technology.

305. P. P. (Texas) 6 Apr 2009

This infringes on our LIBERTY as assured by the Constitution! We have no choice in this matter if there is no control of the airwaves. Not only does this affect humans but all living beings.

306. D. W. C. (Wisconsin) 6 Apr 2009

307. R. M. S. (Wisconsin) 6 Apr 2009

The evidence just keeps mounting - both "anecdotal" real-life personal experience and "scientific." We are woefully behind much of Europe in these matters, which shows how profit-driven marketing sells us dangerous products and works hard on all fronts to resist careful regulation and to keep us ignorant and unquestioning...and ultimately less healthy and sane AND more technologically backward.

I resent governmental abdication of its duties and outright support for dangerous technologies that threaten the welfare of my family and the planet.

308. M. N. (Washington) 6 Apr 2009

309. D. H. (Michigan) 6 Apr 2009

Broadband, cell phones, cell towers, cordless digital phones and Wi-fi, all cause interference with my hearing aid. If I am within several feet of these devices, my hearing aid will buzz loudly, and the sound is so distorted that I can't understand anything that is spoken to me. Broadband, Wi-fi and cell towers will cause interference with my hearing aid, if I'm even in the neighborhood. Needless to say, I can't go out in public. And I ban all devices that affect my hearing aid, from my home. I do not want broadband in my home, or even on my property. I consider my house a safe haven from all the EMFs that cause my hearing aid to become totally useless. Please don't force broadband on people who will be harmed by it. Some medical devices can be disrupted by electro-magnetic-fields, like hearing aids, pacemakers, defibrillators, and others. Don't risk our health or lives, so other people can have their toys to play with. Technology can do great harm, in many cases.

310. D. S. (Virginia) 6 Apr 2009

311. N. S. () 6 Apr 2009

312. E. (Wisconsin) 7 Apr 2009

313. K. H. (Georgia) 7 Apr 2009

This issue is huge for my family who has had to move to a rural location because city exposures cause debilitating symptoms.

314. A. M. (North Carolina) 7 Apr 2009

Cell phone and WiFi radiation increases abnormal cell growth (cancer) and the growth of dangerous micro-organisms within the body. This radiation opens up the blood brain barrier and mobilizes stored toxins and heavy metals out of safety and into the brain and other once vitally protected areas. Allowing the blanketing of huge areas with this radiation is going to increase illness and death. I realize this is a depopulation venture as well as a tracking mechanism. Biochips will need to use wireless radiation to track human movement. Stop the insanity by stopping the wireless radiation genocide.

315. B. S. (Wisconsin) 7 Apr 2009

This would be an unfortunate step that would probably have very dire consequences in the future.

316. J. R. (Wisconsin) 7 Apr 2009**317. S. B. (Wisconsin) 7 Apr 2009**

The health of all of us is at stake here. That means your children, President Obama, our grandchildren, seniors, teens, pregnant women, everyone. Please let's do this as safely as possible. Let us harm no one. Thank you.

318. L. R. N. (Wisconsin) 7 Apr 2009**319. S. L. (Wisconsin) 7 Apr 2009****320. R. V. (New York) 7 Apr 2009**

I am one of the victims of wireless technology, I have been ill for ten years now and wish the Government would worry more about human health than profit there are more people becoming ill from this technology every day and most don't even realize its their cellphone that has made them ill. It's so heartbreaking to see that human life means nothing to this industry.

321. L. L. (Oregon) 7 Apr 2009

Given the doubts that exist, this technology (wireless) has not been studied enough to have been visited upon the public to the extent that it already has. Let's not compound the folly by entrenching ourselves in it even further, until we really know what it is we're doing. Please.

322. A. P. (Maryland) 7 Apr 2009

I have been suffering from electromagnetic hypersensitivity for one and a half years. This was caused by cell towers located on the high rise in which I lived. I now find it difficult to find a safe place to live and work.

323. s. b. (California) 7 Apr 2009**324. M. G. (Massachusetts) 7 Apr 2009**

President Obama,
With all you have to do, I am sure
that this may not feel like a pressing
decision. That being said, I urge
you to tread cautiously on this matter.

I too am among those deeply and profoundly
effected by the proliferation of Wireless
technology.

It is becoming harder and harder to find any place
free of the stress inducing, headache inducing and
uncomfortable prescence of non-ionizing radiation.

For the Childre, Pregnant Women, Elderly, Chronically Ill, we need places that are conducive to rest and healing.

Unleasing this ubiquitous pollutant is truly catastrophic for many of us now -- and rolling out this technology will make it catastrophic for even more people.

Please hold off on Broadband over Powerlines.

It is a Public Health Disaster in the making.

The electrical grid is already devastated by high-frequency harmonic pollution. It was never designed to carry these signals and as a result much of the signals will radiate and consume out living spaces and lives.

Please. Please....

Put it off...

Instead, move for the deployment of ubiquitous FIBER OPTICS.

No radiation...little risk...faster speeds, better technology for th future.

The interim step of Broadband Over Powerlines is simply a fatal idea.

Please NOOO!

Best to you,

Mark G.

325. A. E. (Wisconsin) 7 Apr 2009**326. C. H. (Virginia) 7 Apr 2009**

I suffer from the symptoms of electromagnetic sensitivity.

327. J. L. (Illinois) 7 Apr 2009**328. K. A. (Arizona) 7 Apr 2009****329. E. R. (Wisconsin) 8 Apr 2009**

I believe we should learn from research and other nations, by putting our nation's health above corporate profits. We need to turn this around as our medical costs are skyrocketing from the effects/diseases of EMFs.

330. J. A. (Wisconsin) 8 Apr 2009**331. K. M. (Wisconsin) 8 Apr 2009****332. J. J. (Washington) 8 Apr 2009**

Electro-smog is a serious health concern for our nation. Please address this issue in a way that is both fiscally responsible (provides stimulus) AND takes the health of our citizens into account. If everyone becomes sick and disabled from pollutants (as I have become), our country will no longer function.

333. J. M. (California) 8 Apr 2009

Please consider the thousands of people who are severely affected by Electromagnetic Fields, and who suffer daily from exposure.

334. J. L. G. (California) 8 Apr 2009

Thank you so much for your attention to this urgent issue. I trust that you will do what is the best interest of the health of our families, especially our children and grandchildren!

335. A. (Washington) 8 Apr 2009

Though I didn't want to think it possible, I finally realized last year that my anxiety, nausea and brain fog while working at my computer was caused by my wireless router and wireless keyboard. When I converted back to a wired system, those symptoms disappeared. I am now much more respectful of EMFs. Fortunately, I had the option of unplugging the culprits---had I not had that flexibility, I may never have discovered my sensitivity to EMFs and would have wasted a lot of precious health care dollars. If I had been forced to be chronically exposed to EMFs, doctors probably would have diagnosed me with something that required a life-long drug dependence (maybe ADHD at 53?) and the true cause would have been ignored.

Come to think of it, it is imperative to do extensive studies on the effects of EMFs on behavior and cognition in children before ramping up their exposure by federal mandate across the country.

336. C. D. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009**337. C. K. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009**

I am very concerned that our children and grandchildren will never know a time without this kind of exposure unless we take action now. The ever growing body of evidence is showing that there are serious health risks associated with exposure. We are poised to add yet another layer of radiation with HD TV. Doctors worldwide are voicing their concerns, please take a serious look at implementing the Precautionary Principle before putting wireless into schools.

338. C. C. H. (California) 9 Apr 2009

Quietly the world is finding serious damage to children and all humans from electromagnetic radiation. The information from scientists and medical sources are there.

Please research this before we lose a generation to brain tumors and other cancers.

339. E. R. B. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009

Dear President Obama

For the sake of your children and all children in the world, make it safe and work to get wireless RF regulated and away from children and especially youth who will one day be parents.

340. L. B. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009

Please

341. C. J. G. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009

I ask that you -please- take time to consider this concern. We are aware you have mountains of work and hundreds of issues to address, however, this particular issue is not widely publicized, so you may not be familiar with it.

342. W. H. (Oregon) 9 Apr 2009

It's time to do the right things:

- * Remove universal wireless broadband and broadband over power lines,
- * Promote broadband access using only communication cables and fiber optics
- * Develop a healthier, non-EMR-emitting "Smart Grid."

343. E. W. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009

Let's look hard at the science related to emf's and broadband and make the right choices based on human health as the 1st priority.

344. S. D. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009**345. P. H. (Wisconsin) 9 Apr 2009****346. S. S. (California) 9 Apr 2009****347. K. A. (Washington) 9 Apr 2009****348. D. M. (Washington) 10 Apr 2009****349. j. m. (Washington) 11 Apr 2009**

Please consider all of the evidence of harm electromagnetic pollution!

350. b. w. (California) 12 Apr 2009**351. O. D. L. (Washington) 12 Apr 2009**

Let us not keep creating new devastating and expensive and unhealthful problems.

352. D. G. (Washington) 12 Apr 2009

353. S. G. (North Carolina) 12 Apr 2009**354. T. H. (Washington) 12 Apr 2009**

I am a healthcare practitioner and am writing to express my concern regarding funding of wireless Broadband technology. Numerous studies have shown the clear harmful effects of wireless technology on human health. I have personally seen the results from numerous peer-reviewed studies. Unfortunately, this information is not shared widely. With this in mind, I support funding of fibre optic and other landline broadband technology only, basically nothing wireless.

355. V. H. (Washington) 12 Apr 2009**356. P. C. (Montana) 12 Apr 2009**

I feel less control over my own health, even though the technology is incredible.

357. D. R. (Washington) 13 Apr 2009**358. A. J. (Minnesota) 13 Apr 2009****359. K. J. (Wisconsin) 13 Apr 2009****360. D. Z. (Washington) 13 Apr 2009****361. E. S. (California) 13 Apr 2009**

Please don't pollute our whole environment with emf, wireless radiation. the natural world still needs clean airwaves

362. T. F. (Virginia) 13 Apr 2009

I strongly agree with this petition and for what it stands for.

363. J. F. (Washington) 14 Apr 2009

Independent research continues to demonstrate the hazards of wireless technology, meanwhile industry sponsored research is self-serving, self promoting dribble.

364. M. G. L. () 14 Apr 2009

Dear Mr. Obama,

First, congratulations on being elected president of America!

Wi-fi is extremely dangerous - it is the worst aspect of electromagnetic frequencies (microwaves) and I suffered palpitation of the heart when trying to sleep in a bedroom next to a first floor office in my cousin's house! I had to sleep on the sofa downstairs for the 2 nights I visited and was fine there. My cousin's husband had been having heart problems too.

I felt extremely ill in when visiting a school where I used to teach. My symptoms in the classroom area were similar (but worse) that I feel near phone masts and in the houses in my village where I found residents were suffering insomnia; headaches; nausea and vertigo; earache and tinnitus; sore, bloodshot, itching eyes; ulcerated mouths and throats with extreme thirst, nosebleeds and raised blood pressure. One man had a stroke immediately the mast was activated for the first time, and a second when it was reactivated after being off for the whole of April 2002. There is a huge amount of peer reviewed proof that microwave emissions damage our health in the public domain - and of course - the recognisable list of symptoms experienced in association with emissions as above. There are more serious ones - 2 pets in the homes affected died of cancer.

If I had time I could give you a great deal of evidence from experts, but I am currently trying to get our protective agencies to carry out epidemiological tests in the areas where the worst symptoms are to be found - they will not listen and expect sensitive people to submit to testing in laboratories! This is completely unacceptable! I feel the symptoms, and could not bear to stay in an affected area for longer than a few minutes - 12 sensitives out of 150 testees had to withdraw from tests carried out at Essex University after the first session, because they could not bear the suffering - yet they were not included in the final result which claimed there was no proof of danger to health from microwave emissions from mast and phones! It is the non-thermal aspect which causes the problems, but our protective agencies ignore that aspect and base our permitted levels of emissions solely upon the thermal aspect.

Please help your American EHsensitive people - I see many of them on internet support groups who are looking for sanctuary from emissions. I hear you have Wi-Fi in the streets! If this happens in England I will be unable to go shopping in town. People will be falling through dizziness; having heart problems and strokes.

I must get on with my 25th letter to the HPA!

If you want any more evidence - from scientific experts - please let me know.

I wish you every success in your extremely difficult task and send best wishes to your lovely wife and family,

Yours sincerely

Gillian Lyden

365. M. G. L. () 14 Apr 2009

Dear Mr. Obama,

First, congratulations on being elected president of America!

Wi-fi is extremely dangerous - it is the worst aspect of electromagnetic frequencies (microwaves) and I suffered palpitation of the heart when trying to sleep in a bedroom next to a first floor office in my cousin's house! I had to sleep on the sofa downstairs for the 2 nights I visited and was fine there. My cousin's husband had been having heart problems too.

I felt extremely ill in when visiting a school where I used to teach. My symptoms in the classroom area were similar (but worse) that I feel near phone masts and in the houses in my village where I found residents were suffering insomnia; headaches; nausea and vertigo; earache and tinnitus; sore, bloodshot, itching eyes; ulcerated mouths and throats with extreme thirst, nosebleeds and raised blood pressure. One man had a stroke immediately the mast was activated for the first time, and a second when it was reactivated after being off for the whole of April 2002. There is a huge amount of peer reviewed proof that

microwave emissions damage our health in the public domain - and of course - the recognisable list of symptoms experienced in association with emissions as above. There are more serious ones - 2 pets in the homes affected died of cancer.

If I had time I could give you a great deal of evidence from experts, but I am currently trying to get our protective agencies to carry out epidemiological tests in the areas where the worst symptoms are to be found - they will not listen and expect sensitive people to submit to testing in laboratories! This is completely unacceptable! I feel the symptoms, and could not bear to stay in an affected area for longer than a few minutes - 12 sensitives out of 150 testees had to withdraw from tests carried out at Essex University after the first session, because they could not bear the suffering - yet they were not included in the final result which claimed there was no proof of danger to health from microwave emissions from mast and phones! It is the non-thermal aspect which causes the problems, but our protective agencies ignore that aspect and base our permitted levels of emissions solely upon the thermal aspect.

Please help your American EHsensitive people - I see many of them on internet support groups who are looking for sanctuary from emissions. I hear you have Wi-Fi in the streets! If this happens in England I will be unable to go shopping in town. People will be falling through dizziness; having heart problems and strokes.

I must get on with my 25th letter to the HPA!

If you want any more evidence - from scientific experts - please let me know.

I wish you every success in your extremely difficult task and send best wishes to your lovely wife and family,

Yours sincerely

Gillian Lyden

366. K. K. (California) 14 Apr 2009

Yes! we need an infrastructure that is healthy for the population & the environment!

367. M. T. (New York) 14 Apr 2009

My parents were engineers, and they were aware of these safety issues thirty years ago.

368. M. B. (Massachusetts) 14 Apr 2009

A friend of mine died from Asthma. This is just one of millions of deaths that could have been prevented if we lived in a healthy environment.

369. r. j. (California) 15 Apr 2009

I remember a scientist back in the seventies wrote in the New Yorker about this problem. She couldn't get her research published in any scientific journals, and her career was damaged for daring to speak out. This has been a problem and danger for a long time, don't let it continue. Our children face enough hazards. Making it blanket whole regions removes our freedom to choose and follows in the footsteps of x-rays, fluoroscopes, mercury poisoning, fluoride poisoning, dioxin, etc. Please, no more.

370. M. C. (Maine) 16 Apr 2009

371. M. C. (Maine) 16 Apr 2009

372. R. A. (Montana) 16 Apr 2009

From an electrically, environmentally sensitive individual.

This is an increasing problem worldwide, and while other countries are removing wifi from buildings and whole areas, we are way behind the curve as to safety.

Cell phones and all wireless gadgets etc. should be required to integrate the emf reduction technologies already available to help mitigate the health consequences, known and unknown. For computers, etc. to be sold without them I find highly negligent on the part of the manufacturers, and whatever governing agencies allow them on the market currently as is.

Thank you for your time. :)

Sincerely,
Robin Ashton

373. T. G. (New Jersey) 17 Apr 2009

374. L. E. (North Carolina) 17 Apr 2009

375. C. W. (New Jersey) 17 Apr 2009

376. N. Z. L. (North Carolina) 17 Apr 2009

It's not as if we can put a Faraday cage over the entire planet, to protect ourselves from this scourge. And we are fast proceeding with this blatant abuse of technology at our own peril. What does it mean for human life, this question about "the birds and the bees"? It's not just some fairy tale we give our rising pubescent youth, it represents the actual WEB OF LIFE; our own sustenance and survival, the delicate balance of inter-laced, species dependent mechanisms, which support and allow homeostasis on Earth. We as a species are truly blind-sided and foolish, driven by ignorant greed, if we do not HALT our own destruction through these extremely harmful, yet seemingly innocuous because invisible, self-made forces. What will it take for people to wake up? Is it not enough for hundreds of BILLIONS of otherwise HEALTHY, live bees, to wash up on the beaches of California during the almond tree pollination cycle? They get disoriented and lost at sea until they are too exhausted to continue; not a virus, not a fungal infection, the main cause of hive or colony collapse is bees not being able to navigate in the thick soup of microwave pollution we can't seem to 'live' without.

377. K. B. (Massachusetts) 17 Apr 2009

Please make all decisions based on health for the environment (we rely on having a healthy environment to live in) and the people rather than the flawed research of corporations that are only interested in their own financial health.

378. J. G. (New York) 17 Apr 2009

379. D. D. (Louisiana) 17 Apr 2009

380. K. F. (Massachusetts) 17 Apr 2009

381. K. J. (California) 17 Apr 2009

382. J. B. (California) 17 Apr 2009

383. C. G. (Michigan) 17 Apr 2009

384. S. (Minnesota) 17 Apr 2009

Please, your children and their children deserve a healthy life. Don't they?

385. C. B. (Connecticut) 17 Apr 2009

386. I. N. (Washington) 17 Apr 2009

The proliferation of electromagnetic pollution is a biological experiment for which no sound safety studies were done. We caved to pressure from ugly corporations and are seeing a health disaster that affects not only people but plants and animals as well. Fix the problem!

387. C. L. (Colorado) 17 Apr 2009

As a cancer researcher I have been concerned about microwave and radio frequencies for over 12 years. I see the devastating effects all over the World on health of living things. For the sake of all future generations we must curb this trend.

388. g. d. (California) 17 Apr 2009

Its enough already!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

389. C. L. (Colorado) 17 Apr 2009

390. A. B. (Florida) 17 Apr 2009

391. T. Y. B. (California) 17 Apr 2009

392. S. C. (Colorado) 17 Apr 2009

393. S. T. (New York) 17 Apr 2009

Pervasive EMF- like radium or cigarettes, it's intially thought harmless. But it increases allergies, heavy metal retention, decreases the body's ability to clear cancer, and is found harmless mostly in the studies paid for by cell companies.

394. T. C. (Oregon) 17 Apr 2009

395. C. W. (Oregon) 17 Apr 2009

396. D. A. (Florida) 18 Apr 2009

A good work for welfare project, Mr. President. Taxpayers want public safety and something in return for welfare.

397. L. W. (Rhode Island) 18 Apr 2009

398. k. b. (Massachusetts) 18 Apr 2009

I do not own a cell phone for these reasons - as long as there is doubt as to the long-range problems with this technology, I can't bring myself to be a polluter if there is an alternative. I hope you will consider ALL the implications before proceeding.

399. t. s. (Kansas) 18 Apr 2009

400. R. S. (Idaho) 18 Apr 2009

[Sign your name](#)

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#), [401](#)



[About Us](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Contact Us](#) | ©2006 WAV Research



Petition to Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#), [401](#), [501](#)

401. I. S. (New York) 18 Apr 2009

Please please look into preserving our future by removing broadband and promote other means.

402. J. T. (Arkansas) 18 Apr 2009

403. B. B. F. (New York) 18 Apr 2009

404. M. L. (Massachusetts) 18 Apr 2009

405. L. J. V. (Minnesota) 18 Apr 2009

406. H. R. () 18 Apr 2009

The invisibility and harmful effects of EMF's on the human body, in particular "children" require immediate attention.

407. L. L. (Connecticut) 18 Apr 2009

408. J. C. (California) 18 Apr 2009

409. t. n. (Washington) 18 Apr 2009

410. L. H. (Connecticut) 18 Apr 2009

411. C. t. (Florida) 19 Apr 2009

412. C. L. B. (Missouri) 19 Apr 2009

413. S. J. (New Mexico) 19 Apr 2009

Chemtrails are the most damageing to all life on earth and should be stopped.

414. J. W. (Florida) 19 Apr 2009

415. M. P. (Wisconsin) 19 Apr 2009

416. A. M. (Washington) 19 Apr 2009

417. K. J. (Wisconsin) 19 Apr 2009

418. A. D. (Washington) 19 Apr 2009

419. A. O. (Oregon) 19 Apr 2009

420. B. S. (Arizona) 20 Apr 2009

421. M. K. K. (Arizona) 20 Apr 2009

422. M. S. (Illinois) 20 Apr 2009

423. M. C. (Wisconsin) 20 Apr 2009

424. a. s. (New York) 20 Apr 2009

425. Q. Z. (New Jersey) 20 Apr 2009

426. P. C. (New Jersey) 20 Apr 2009

427. A. J. (Texas) 20 Apr 2009

428. Q. M. (Maine) 21 Apr 2009

429. J. (Wisconsin) 21 Apr 2009**430. P. R. (Wisconsin) 21 Apr 2009****431. M. A. T. (New York) 21 Apr 2009**

I am a father of 3. I have worked in a microwave research lab among experimental base station technologies, am a member of IEEE/ACM and other key organizations in the field, also teach students in Masters level. I am very aware of the existing state of the art research, and have communicated with leading researchers, from Sweden (Dr. Olle Johansson who works on mast cell/immune system studies), USA (Dr. Singh at University of Seattle, works with Dr. Henry Lai on doublestrand DNA breakup studies), Finland (Dariusz Leszczynski at Finnish Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority who works on HSP27 protein changes) matters related to Ghz range microwave radiation (incl. WLAN/WIFI/Airport/802.xx/Bluetooth/Dect and cell phone health risks. The consensus among these people, and among dozens of other key researchers appears to be that the situation is alarming, especially considering the safety of children (but also adults).

U.S. Government, Congress, and White House should invite these people, along with all other researchers who are members of the www.bioinitiative.org, Lennart Hardell, G. Khurana, Sanjay Gupta etc to Congressional hearings related to these matters as soon as possible. There is no time to waste as the learning abilities, health, and therefore, the future of our children and our society as a whole is, unfortunately, very much at stake.

Considering what the existing research, EU REFLEX and INTERPHONE studies etc show, there are people who are also concerned about the fact that one can still publicly see our President using a cell phone next to the brain without a wired ear piece. A wired earpiece is recommended by most EMF/RF researchers as a precautionary measure. In addition, when asked by Larry King, none of the leading neurosurgeons recently interviewed by him use cellphone next to the head/brain, rather use an earpiece.

Biological (non thermal) effects of microwave based radiation are measurable and numerous, causing a significant public health hazard and liability. Military use of microwave radiation based devices makes the matter naturally even more complex.

The cell phone industry, unfortunately, has vehemently denied the existence of any nonthermal effects, but even a 14 year old has received the correct answer re: nonthermal effects from a scientist in Argonne National Laboratory, 10 years ago.
www.newton.dep.anl.gov/askasci/gen99/gen99445.htm

In addition, NOKIA itself mentions Glioma and risks to Myelin sheaths already in 1993 in its numerous patents:

USPAT

6,259,896 Device for radio communication

6,088,579 Device for radio communication

5,787,340 Radiation shielding apparatus for communication device

Microwave radiation creates a major hazard to our children's learning abilities/cognitive skills. Latest Swedish study by Dr. Hardell at Orebro Hospital has found a 2-4 x rise in the risk of Glioma for people using cell phones for more than 10 years, on the same side of the head as the cell phone has been used. In addition, German doctors have noticed abnormal brain activity in children for hours, after only few minutes of cell phone use. The dilemma here is clearly that it is considered unethical to test the possible health effects of microwave radiation on children, but it appears to be ethical for major entertainment and wireless companies to collaborate in selling branded cellphones with animation characters.

Unfortunately, the public is not properly informed about the nature of the cutting edge research in the field. The White House has the responsibility of being informed about these matters. Therefore, again, I am suggesting that you would gather all leading international researchers for a meeting, in collaboration with your leading science and health advisors

Below are some key links which should enlighten the matter, effectively proving that there are clear measurable effects by cell phone radiation.

1) Non-thermal activation of the hsp27/p38MAPK stress pathway by mobile phone radiation in human endothelial cells: molecular mechanism for cancer- and blood-brain barrier-related effects.
www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12076339

2) "2.45 Ghz fields alter gene expression in cultured human cells" S. Lee, National Institute of Health
www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16107253

3) INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIRE FIGHTERS:
36 page cutting edge metapage on existing microwave research
www.iaff.org/HS/Resi/CellTowerFinal.htm

4) EU funded Reflex study:
http://www.verum-foundation.de/admin.excellent-ms.net/www2004/html/pdf/euprojekte01/REFLEX_final%20report.pdf

www.i-sis.org.uk/CMPBDASG.php

5) Bioinitiative report/Univ. of Albany
A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard
for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF)
www.bioinitiative.org/report/index.htm

6) EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTIONS (Latest one passed 559 over 22 on April 2nd, 2009)
www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+TA+P6-TA-2009-0216+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN&language=EN
www.europarl.europa.eu/news/expert/infopress_page/066-53234-091-04-14-911-20090401IPR53233-01-04-2009-2009-false/default_en.htm
www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P6-TA-2009-0216
www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+TA+P6-TA-2008-0410+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN

432. A. B. (Alabama) 21 Apr 2009

433. J. V. (California) 22 Apr 2009

I am concerned about the growing epidemic of insomnia among my colleagues, relatives and neighbors, and I wonder whether the growing wireless technologies have anything to do with that? More (unbiased) research and caution in approving new technology would be great.

434. H. L. H. M. (West Virginia) 23 Apr 2009**435. H. G. (Pennsylvania) 23 Apr 2009****436. M. S. (California) 23 Apr 2009**

The health hazards need to be assessed with rigorous scientific measures, before exposing entire nations to such potentially dangerous radiations.

437. P. T. (Texas) 23 Apr 2009**438. M. M. (North Carolina) 23 Apr 2009****439. R. J. (Colorado) 24 Apr 2009**

For the protection of your daughters' health, I would urge you to seriously check into all the available science that has been ignored and is just barely being brought to light. There are literally hundreds of thousands of people being made ill from the wireless EMF/RF proliferation.

440. P. a. J. O. (California) 24 Apr 2009**441. K. H. (Georgia) 24 Apr 2009**

My children are allergic to many things, and it was getting worse until I realized that exposure to electronic fields were causing or aggravating leakage in the bowels and in the blood brain barrier. By reducing exposure to common items like cell phones, and wireless routers, they have been able to heal, and get stronger. I had been trying many allergy cures, but reducing the exposure to high power density plumes has yielded the most dramatic results, especially in the amount and quality of sleep, and in their ability to concentrate on their school assignments. Please get up to speed on what many countries already acknowledge to be true. Microwaves are quite biologically active.

Thanks,

Kate

442. L. S. R. (California) 24 Apr 2009**443. d. (Massachusetts) 25 Apr 2009****444. M. P. (Ohio) 25 Apr 2009****445. J. S. (Arizona) 28 Apr 2009**

I don't want the air filled with electromagnetic waves.

446. J. A. (Arizona) 30 Apr 2009**447. I. G. (Georgia) 30 Apr 2009**

This is an issue that affects me personally. I cannot talk on cellphones or be in places that have wireless internet. I develop symptoms such as chest pain, muscle weakness, trouble breathing, nausea and headaches when I am around this type of radiation. Since these are fairly new technologies, there are no long term studies on the health risks.

Please don't let us be human guinea pigs. Stop the use of these technologies for public access.

448. L. S. (Georgia) 30 Apr 2009**449. S. W. (Georgia) 30 Apr 2009**

Please take this seriously. WE do not want convenience and business interests to supercede health.

450. J. L. (Texas) 30 Apr 2009**451. C. S. B. (Georgia) 30 Apr 2009****452. L. M. H. (Arizona) 30 Apr 2009**

This would be a nightmare for me as cell phones and cell phone towers cause physical distress in me. I am very EMF sensitive, so much so that I cannot talk on a telephone for long before experiencing symptoms.

453. J. G. (Arizona) 2 May 2009**454. J. J. (Washington) 2 May 2009**

Please keep our rural homes safe from electro-smog! Those of us already struggling with health issues may be made sicker, at enormous cost to the national health care system. Think seriously about this last comment. Thank you.

455. A. B. (Arizona) 2 May 2009

Wireless technology should proceed with caution. We don't know enough about the long-term effects. For some of us, the short-term effects are already bad. Being near a cell phone tower makes me ill - I get shaky and nauseated.

Please don't put transmitters near residences, medical facilities or schools. And don't put transmitters on all household electric meters.

456. J. L. (Illinois) 3 May 2009**457. m. r. (California) 3 May 2009****458. D. D. (Wisconsin) 4 May 2009****459. R. B. M. (Arizona) 5 May 2009**

460. J. W. (Wisconsin) 6 May 2009

Please do not disregard the scientific studies that show that microwaves and other electromagnetic wavelengths have biological effects, and can be harmful to humans and the environment. Please regulate and have us use these technologies in the safest possible manner. Thank you.

461. L. L. (Illinois) 6 May 2009

Already we all have too many exposures to electromagnetic fields in our homes and workplaces. Please do not add any more.

462. E. S. (Colorado) 7 May 2009

This is a serious problem for people with Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity. Per the ADA they should be accommodated. And most of the current efforts ignore or explicitly exclude them. Please see the people with EHS are recognized and accommodated. Thank you.

463. J. S. (Wisconsin) 8 May 2009

Smart meters have made me ill! I have moved homes and communities to improve my health. Please do not continue to put more wireless signal in the air or on power lines! Thank you!

464. C. S. (Wisconsin) 8 May 2009

Please do not allow wireless broadband to spread across our nation...this is causing my family and I to have serious health issues including increased blood sugar levels, fibromyalgia symptoms, headaches and back and neck pain. These waves travel through a person's body and affects them in different ways - your body tries to fight them off, but after long periods of exposure, it is not longer able to. Thank you

465. K. J. (Arizona) 11 May 2009**466. L. J. (Arizona) 11 May 2009****467. K. C. (Texas) 12 May 2009**

Please do not allow wireless nation wide -- or even city wide. It is dangerous, as scientific studies have proven. It gives me headaches and muscle aches. Please protect our bodies from the dangerous effects of wireless. Thank you!

468. B. Z. (Texas) 13 May 2009

Please do not allow wireless nation wide -- or even city wide. It is dangerous, as scientific studies have proven. It gives me headaches and muscle aches. Please protect our bodies from the dangerous effects of wireless.

469. S. A. (Wisconsin) 16 May 2009**470. N. C. F. (Wisconsin) 16 May 2009**

Sensitivity to wireless waves, dirty electricity and cell phone communications is not widely recognized in the USA. To those of us who deal with it, it is highly recognized, and causes great fear and pain. Please consider additional protection for the planet, the people and animals that inhabit the portion you govern. Thank you.

471. T. C. (Tennessee) 16 May 2009

I absolutely do not want to be exposed 24/7 with wireless. As the above documentation indicates there are biological effects from wireless exposure. It is utterly unethical and immoral to proceed with a technology with some many safety questions surrounding its use. If you go forward with this, it is very likely the consequences will be great and severe.

472. J. M. T. (Alabama) 17 May 2009**473. S. R. (Connecticut) 18 May 2009**

I have serious adverse reactions to cell phones and WiFi. Please ensure any expansion of Broadband is through cable wires and fiber optics!

474. R. D. (Colorado) 18 May 2009

I am extremely hypersensitized to EMF - so much so that I have to live in remote locations, completely isolated from all fields, including cell phone tower radiation. The proliferation of both cell towers and wi-fi is making life, and our very survival, questionable. This pollution is out of control and MUST be stopped. There is so much information about the adverse health effects...is America just crazy???

475. M. G. (Massachusetts) 18 May 2009

President Obama,

Many Americans are beginning to fall ill as a result of excessive exposure to digital, pulsed, microwave radiation.

I truly hope you will halt this deployment and take very seriously the matter of Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity and Microwave Sickness.

Very Truly Yours,

Mark Giordani

476. r. k. (Washington) 19 May 2009**477. S. N. (Kentucky) 19 May 2009**

I believe in health matters we should use the precautionary principles.

478. J. I. (Colorado) 20 May 2009

I'm personally sensitive to the effects of EMF and have a baby...please know that the health issues from wireless are very real.

479. S. H. (Colorado) 20 May 2009

Microwave radiation is the tobacco of this generation, and like tobacco in the fifties, no one is taking it seriously, even though brain cancer has now

overtaken leukemia (also linked to electromagnetic fields) as the chief cancer killer of children.

480. B. T. (Colorado) 21 May 2009

481. P. (New York) 21 May 2009

Sensitivity to EMF is effecting many people by now.
Brain cancers are on the rise. The public is not informed enough about the dangers.
Please stop bombarding people with these harmful frequencies.
We are already exposed to too many toxins.

482. a. s. (Texas) 22 May 2009

483. S. I. (Wisconsin) 27 May 2009

484. D. D. (Colorado) 27 May 2009

485. B. B. (Wisconsin) 28 May 2009

Please protect our children and the rest of us from the pollution of wireless proliferation.

486. C. C. (New Mexico) 29 May 2009

487. C. T. (Texas) 30 May 2009

488. E. S. (Arizona) 30 May 2009

I am personally Electrically Hypersensitive and have had Electrical Hypersensitivity (EHS) and Chronic Lyme Disease for over eight years. Microwave radiation has greatly disabled me. Although I have an International MBA, I am unable to utilize my specialized education and therefore have incurred significant financial hardship and loss.
This illness has greatly isolated me from my friends and family and has interfered with my ability to maintain face to face interpersonal relationships. I also used to be able to travel for work and for pleasure. Now I am reduced to being confined to my house and having groceries and other necessities delivered to me.

I, like many others, long for the ability to work and be productive, however, my disabling symptoms of this illness prevent me from doing so. These symptoms manifest as migraines, chronic fatigue, back and neck pain, burning and tingling extremities and in ability to focus. This is not an all inclusive list.

During the eight years of illness I have lived in nineteen dwellings. Six places of my own, and the remainder with friends or family. In fact, in my current residence there are disabling levels of microwave radiation that are limiting my ability to function yet again. This is increasing the possibility of my having to relocate one more time.

With the current proliferation of wireless devices, accessible housing in the U.S is next to impossible to find for those with EHS. In order to recover and gain some level of functionality, a person has to do extensive research to find zones free or with low emissions to build their own residences, if they are fortunate to be able to afford to. This usually means relocating far from family and friends.

Please acknowledge the solid research that has been done in other countries and establish safe levels of microwave radiation for the citizens of the United States. This is important for the health and safety of the citizens of this country.

For the above reasons, please do not move forward with Universal Wireless Networks.

489. M. (Texas) 31 May 2009

Mr. President

I am disabled and homebound with electrohypersensitivity since 2007, due to overexposure to EMF emissions. I get headaches, burning sensations and heart palpitations when around electromagnetic fields. My previous job in administration required me to constantly be around computers, cell phones, two way radios, a PDA, and wireless internet. Now I can no longer work and am socially isolated from friends and family. I am dependent on my husband and an assistant to survive.

Please read the studies (non-industry funded) prior to implementing technology that hasn't been appropriately tested for long term human health effects. See www.Bioinitiative.org.

The repercussions of not doing so are devastating...I am living proof, and so are thousands of others; an estimated 3-10% of our population have symptoms of electrohypersensitivity already.

490. S. B. (California) 1 Jun 2009

491. S. H. (California) 1 Jun 2009

As you move forward in your plans to provide broadband internet for all Americans, please take universal wireless broadband and broadband over power lines off the table, and instead promote broadband access using only communication cables and fiber optics. As more communities come face to face with the ugly realities of wireless infrastructure, the large majority of fully informed citizens find they prefer responsible deployment of fiber optic broadband technology, which is superior to wireless technology in speed, reliability, security, durability and protections it affords people and the environment from potential hazards. Our tax dollars must not be used to fund disposable, wireless infrastructure, as it is a poor investment looking to the future, can be ugly, is not as efficient and, worst of all, is a risk to public health and the environment. Please put our tax dollars to work to build a fiber optic network that will benefit all Americans for years to come.

In addition, the telecomm industry already received 200 billion in rate hikes and tax breaks to provide us fiber but pocketed the money instead. See: <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants/comments/61BF.pdf>

This is an outrage and that these same for profit companies are also allowed privileges as "public" utilities is another outrage. In return for the use of our PUBLIC rights of way, we should be provided fiber to every home, as promised. If not, the infrastructure should be treated the same way we treat other important infrastructure that we all depend on and, perhaps, it should be deployed as a true PUBLIC utility. If we are using tax dollars to build our broadband networks, why shouldn't we own them and save billions by cutting out the profits currently going to shareholders and telecomm executives to provide better service.

Americans are tired of being raped by corporations and their ability to use public assets for private profits must stop. It's time for RE-Regulation.

Finally, as an example of fiber optic technology as the superior "future proof" and "green" technology, here is an excerpt from the letter to the FCC from the Director and Chief Technology Officer of Seattle's Department of Information Technology:

"As an example, take my appearance before the FCC today. I drove to SeaTac airport near Seattle, took a flight across the country, and drove to the FCC. This journey consumed many gallons of precious fossil fuels, thereby increasing our nation's dependence upon foreign oil. The journey put many pounds of carbon into the air, contributing to global warming. If the nation had a high-speed, symmetric broadband network capable of two-way high-definition video, I could have made this appearance without these adverse affects, including the significant loss of time and productivity associated with travelling. Only fiber networks - not copper or wireless - offer the high speed, symmetric (two-way) capability to support such an application."
<http://www.chiefseattlegeek.com/CityofSeattle-FCC-Broadband-Summary-04-02-09.pdf>

492. D. B. (California) 1 Jun 2009

Please, Mr. President -

No more wireless. Give us the fiber optic so Americans can catch up with the rest of the world.

493. P. S. (Georgia) 2 Jun 2009

494. M. H. (Oklahoma) 3 Jun 2009

My sister is Electrically Hypersensitive and have had Electrical Hypersensitivity (EHS) and Chronic Lyme Disease for over eight years. Microwave radiation has greatly disabled her. Although she has an International MBA, she has been unable to utilize my specialized education and therefore have incurred significant financial hardship and loss.

This illness has greatly isolated her from my friends and family and has interfered with her ability to maintain face to face interpersonal relationships. She also used to be able to travel for work and for pleasure. Now she is reduced to being confined to her house and having groceries and other necessities delivered to her.

With the current proliferation of wireless devices, accessible housing in the U.S is next to impossible to find for those with EHS. In order to recover and gain some level of functionality, a person has to do extensive research to find zones free or with low emissions to build their own residences, if they are fortunate to be able to afford to. This usually means relocating far from family and friends.

Please acknowledge the solid research that has been done in other countries and establish safe levels of microwave radiation for the citizens of the United States. This is important for the health and safety of the citizens of this country.

495. P. A. S. M. D. M. B. A. (Texas) 3 Jun 2009

496. N. J. F. H. (California) 3 Jun 2009

We need to have people have access to media - instead of having to resort to a destructive means of communication. We're made of electrons. Getting them realigned by wireless - like by electric blankets, or electric clocks next to our heads when we sleep, et alia et alia results from our Owners' ownership of paper and radio and tv possibilities for communication.

497. C. B. (Alabama) 3 Jun 2009

498. E. T. (Pennsylvania) 3 Jun 2009

499. R. A. B. M. (California) 3 Jun 2009

Wireless is toxic to a small percentage of my patients. Don't do it.

500. A. C. D. (Louisiana) 3 Jun 2009

[Sign your name](#)

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#), [401](#), [501](#)



[About Us](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Contact Us](#) | ©2006 WAV Research



Petition to Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#), [401](#), [501](#)

501. E. E. M. (New Mexico) 3 Jun 2009

I have many patients who are severely sensitive to electromagnetic radiation. They are the canaries in the coal mine. I think we will find increasing evidence that the chronic, longterm exposure to microwave radiation will have a negative impact on large portions of the population.

502. E. B. (Iowa) 3 Jun 2009

503. A. M. H. M. (California) 3 Jun 2009

Please halt the spreading of this technology until studies have proven it to be safe, instead of having to prove it unsafe before removing it. Unimagined consequences could be unleashed if we do not do so.

504. D. D. (Ohio) 4 Jun 2009

Please understand that people like myself who suffer alot from EMF's, to the point of practically being housebound are like canaries in the miners cage....it is just a matter of time before people "on Mass" start having life restricting symptoms and VERY SERIOUS health issues. WIFI IS DANGEROUS.

I IMPORE YOU TO LIMIT THIS HAZARD NOW..

505. c. I. (Utah) 4 Jun 2009

I don't approve of blanket WIFI. I am chemically sensitive to the extreme and am starting to notice my same activity limiting functions when I'm expoed to EMF's for too long.

506. c. I. (Utah) 4 Jun 2009

I don't approve of blanket WIFI. I am chemically sensitive to the extreme and am starting to notice my same activity limiting symptoms when I'm expoed to EMF's for too long.

507. g. j. (Utah) 4 Jun 2009

This should not be allowed. WIFI makes me sick. There are thousands of people living in the desert trying to avoid any exposure to emf's all ready whose functioning is adversely affected by it. They'll have nowhere that's safe for them.

508. R. B. O. (Arizona) 4 Jun 2009

So now we all face exposures to this high frequency radiation with unknown health effects where we want it or not. Let's hope history will not repeat itself this time. Asbestos was known to kill as far back as WW1 (ref: Lancet). All the nurses making masks w/ asbestos died within two years yet its use continues, not only to be allowed today, but encouraged. Fluoride, the most active halogen, continues to be literally shoved down our throats along with other compounds, in the questionable belief that it helps prevent tooth decay and is non-toxic. Toxic mercury was long considered safe only if mixed with silver (50/50) and filled into our teeth. Highly toxic chemicals are used today to treat cancer, yet the alternatives are only just starting to become mainstream. Artificial sweeteners are encouraged despite the fact that they increase appetite and have proven health effects. They were pushed for fast track approval to control our obesity crises.

What happened to the required Environmental Impact Assessment? Now, not only are we faced again with an unknown risk not only to ourselves but the entire ecosystem.

We can prevent history from repeating, this time.

509. R. M. R. (New York) 4 Jun 2009

510. E. H. (New York) 4 Jun 2009

It is important that we safeguard the health and well-being of our communities, especially our children. Our country can thrive without wireless broadband, using fiber optic or cable for broadband access.

511. M. S. (New York) 4 Jun 2009

512. C. E. (New York) 4 Jun 2009

513. K. D. (Colorado) 4 Jun 2009

Like many others, I'm very affected by EMF's. Please take this seriously.

514. c. (New York) 4 Jun 2009

There needs to be more independent research done on the harmful effects of wireless broadband radiation emissions to our children, grandchildren,our planet and generations to come. I oppose WiFi in classrooms, on airplanes. The surreptitious construction of cellphone/broadband towers in communities, without public comment undermines the health and safety of our citizens,our great country, our democracy.

515. N. K. (New York) 4 Jun 2009

516. L. K. (Washington) 4 Jun 2009

Please ensure that people have a choice in the amount of radiation they are exposed to, rather than allowing the nation to be blanketed in it.

517. J. Y. (Washington) 4 Jun 2009

518. L. C. (Washington) 5 Jun 2009

People have a right to opt out of exposure to wireless broadband radiation. Please work to ensure that other, safer, forms of wireless are to be the new standard for the future.

519. K. L. (New York) 5 Jun 2009

520. m. c. (New York) 5 Jun 2009

521. J. B. (New York) 5 Jun 2009

522. E. K. (New York) 5 Jun 2009

523. T. R. (Washington) 5 Jun 2009

Please think about the safety of the children that are getting needless exposure to electromagnetic radiation, and the negative effect it could have on their development.

524. R. M. R. J. (Washington) 5 Jun 2009

525. J. I. (Alabama) 5 Jun 2009

526. C. A. C. H. (New York) 5 Jun 2009

527. M. C. (Oregon) 5 Jun 2009

528. G. D. () 5 Jun 2009

529. H. L. R. (Oregon) 5 Jun 2009

My aunt suffers from problems due to electrosmog. Eliminating this problem may help her in some way. Please help her be able to live a pain free life!!

530. V. A. K. (Washington) 5 Jun 2009

531. L. C. (Washington) 5 Jun 2009

532. V. C. (Washington) 5 Jun 2009

533. R. B. (Washington) 6 Jun 2009

I support the precautionary principal.

534. I. s. (New York) 6 Jun 2009

535. K. S. (New York) 6 Jun 2009

536. B. P. (Washington) 7 Jun 2009

stop it for our children especially.

537. J. P. (California) 7 Jun 2009

Dear President Obama,

I know this might sound wacky, but truly the research hasn't been thoroughly done. I love technology, but let's not make the same kind of mistakes as those made in the past when new and wonderful technology was discovered and a price was paid by not giving it a thorough testing before its indiscriminately usage.

Thank you.

538. J. P. (California) 7 Jun 2009

Dear President Obama,

I know this might sound wacky, but truly the research hasn't been thoroughly done. I love technology, but let's not make the same kind of mistakes as those made in the past when new and wonderful technology was discovered and a price was paid by not giving it a thorough testing before its indiscriminately usage.

Thank you.

Julie Peppard

539. J. P. (California) 7 Jun 2009

Dear President Obama,

I know this might sound wacky, but truly the research hasn't been thoroughly done. I love technology, but let's not make the same kind of mistakes as those made in the past when new and wonderful technology was discovered and a price was paid by not giving it a thorough testing before its indiscriminately usage.

Thank you.

540. J. P. K. (Pennsylvania) 8 Jun 2009

I support technology wholeheartedly but believe that the health issues caused by electromagnetic radiation are real and the acceptance and acknowledgement of these health issues by the American Government and healthcare community must be accepted.

541. V. Z. (New York) 8 Jun 2009**542. S. B. (Washington) 8 Jun 2009****543. K. (New York) 8 Jun 2009****544. A. S. (Washington) 8 Jun 2009****545. D. C. B. (Arkansas) 9 Jun 2009**

President Obama,

Radiation is already bad and destructive to many natural phenomena. Please let's call a halt to this expansion. Let's do a thorough investigation of what is really going on, not just listen to the companies promoting this technology.

546. N. F. (New York) 10 Jun 2009

The cell phone antennae and base stations littering the rooftops of our communities are putting the public at great risk. The Telecommunications companies are placing their antennae in residential areas exposing people to full-time close-range transmissions of Radio Frequency radiation. This should not be allowed, especially with the numerous studies and warnings from scientists and doctors that have made us aware of the health dangers that they pose to the public. A congressional hearing on September 25th, 2008 was certainly enough of a warning to force the Telecommunications companies to stop placing these antennae next to people's homes. Aside from the fact that these are the ugliest, and such ridiculous looking contraptions all over the rooftops in New York City. There must be a safer and more aesthetic way to transmit RF radiation and certainly the Telecommunications should wait until a better way is invented rather than putting our public health in jeopardy as they have done.

547. N. F. (New York) 10 Jun 2009

The cell phone antennae and base stations littering the rooftops of our communities are putting the public at great risk. The Telecommunications companies are placing their antennae in residential areas exposing people to full-time close-range transmissions of Radio Frequency radiation. This should not be allowed, especially with the numerous studies and warnings from scientists and doctors that have made us aware of the health dangers that they pose to the public. A congressional hearing on September 25th, 2008 was certainly enough of a warning to force the Telecommunications companies to stop placing these antennae next to people's homes. Aside from the fact that these are the ugliest, and such ridiculous looking contraptions all over the rooftops in New York City. There must be a safer and more aesthetic way to transmit RF radiation and certainly the Telecommunications should wait until a better way is invented rather than putting our public health in jeopardy as they have done.

548. K. J. T. (Texas) 11 Jun 2009

Dear President Obama,

I voted for you mainly because President Bush was not interested in protecting us from the dangers of radio frequency electromagnetic fields.

I have experienced life-threatening symptoms after a microwave tower was installed near my home. Since then I have become very sensitive to radio-frequency radiation, I experience severe pain when exposed, and it's nearly impossible to avoid.

Sherry Rogers, M.D. states in one of her newsletters titled "Total Wellness" that these radio frequency electromagnetic fields actually cause leakage of the blood-brain barrier!

Please halt Universal Wireless and Broadband over Power Lines for our safety, and protect us. Thank you very much.

549. L. B. (Texas) 12 Jun 2009

please protect the elderly & infirm from the damaging effects of broadband radiation. the populations who are most vulnerable are least able to make themselves heard or even be aware of the deleterious effects that hasten their debilitation. emr is an invisible double edged sword. the technology is too new and the level of radiation swollen to such a degree that we can't begin to recognize all the problems it contributes to. please, mr. president, limit the expansion of broadcasting antennas.

550. C. C. J. (Washington) 16 Jun 2009**551. J. L. (Virginia) 25 Jun 2009****552. A. L. (Missouri) 28 Jun 2009****553. G. S. (Illinois) 30 Jun 2009**

It is time to use the money of the most wealthy nation in the world to protect its citizens.

554. P. G. (Texas) 1 Jul 2009**555. M. B. (Minnesota) 2 Jul 2009**

I have debilitating and ever-increasing effects from electromagnetics. At the mitochondrial level (of our cells) we are chemical and electrical creatures.

Ignorance and/or a closed mind are never excuses for denial in the face of evidence. I could still be a functioning person in society, but housing, not to mention work, is difficult. Electromagnetics also contributes to the ill effects of other conditions, such as autoimmune thyroid disease. And broadband means

there is no safe place left.

556. C. S. () 3 Jul 2009**557. C. E. (North Carolina) 3 Jul 2009****558. L. (Idaho) 4 Jul 2009****559. J. C. (New Jersey) 6 Jul 2009****560. D. S. (Hawaii) 7 Jul 2009**

Please act now to protect our health.

Many are already too ill to function in society at any level and can not possibly work. This is a huge loss to our country and is causing incredible pain, financially and physically, to the victims and their families.

[Sign your name](#)

View signatures starting with: [1](#), [101](#), [201](#), [301](#), [401](#), [501](#)



[About Us](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Contact Us](#) | ©2006 WAV Research

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

Signatures handwritten on the letter to President Obama. The President received full signatures, abbreviations are in this copy:

BK (Indiana)

AS (Indiana)

MJG (Indiana)

JMN (Indiana)

MH (Indiana)

JP (New York)

JCM (Texas)

LT (Texas)

VM (Texas)

TS (Texas)

TR (Texas)

ALS (Arizona)

PR (Arizona)

GP (Arizona)

JC (Arizona)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.

[illegible]

We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

KA (Arizona)

GG (Arizona)

DH (Arizona)

LG (Arizona)

DMN (Arizona)

WW (Iowa)

GM (Iowa)

JAO (Iowa)

RO (Iowa)

RC (Iowa)

SS (Arizona)

JC (Arizona)

JL (Arizona)

CL (Arizona)

JT (Arizona)

TA (Indiana)

ESMQ (Indiana)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

NJL (Indiana)

KL (Indiana)

AG (Indiana)

HSD (Oregon)

VA (Oregon)

WO (Oregon)

EB (Oregon)

KW (Oregon)

DP (California)

PBO (New Mexico)

WMO (New Mexico)

JAF (Arizona)

JME (Arizona)

JCME (Arizona)

ER (California)

MG (California)

RJA (California)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

AAH (California)

PY (California)

FRG (California)

MGF (California)

CMC (California)

LC (California)

RC (California)

GP (California)

AO (California)

JV (California)

EQ (California)

CC (California)

JK (California)

GW (California)

MF (Texas)

KO (Texas)

DS (Texas)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

MM (Texas)

TF (Texas)

LS (Texas)

SMG (Texas)

LK (Arizona)

PK (Arizona)

JL (Indiana)

PCL (Indiana)

CK (Indiana)

SMS (Indiana)

LE (Indiana)

DW (Indiana)

DW (Indiana)

DA (Indiana)

BWT (Indiana)

DR (Indiana)

DT (Indiana)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

CM (Indiana)

SK (Arizona)

DM (Arizona)

GG (Arizona)

NA (Arizona)

TU (Arizona)

RL (Indiana)

NK (Indiana)

JD (Indiana)

DH (Indiana)

MP (Indiana)

TS (Indiana)

CLM (Indiana)

MA (Indiana)

KW (Indiana)

CRW (Indiana)

KH (Indiana)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

AM (Indiana)

DL (Arizona)

MMM (Arizona)

SEMK (Arizona)

JMK (Arizona)

CT (Texas)

LH (Texas)

DEW (Arizona)

CRC (Texas)

KH (Texas)

BJL (Texas)

JET (Texas)

MCJ (Texas)

TB (Texas)

BP (Texas)

ES (Connecticut)

DF (Texas)


Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

"Would You Put Your Head In a Microwave Oven?", by Dr. Gerald Goldberg

The value of the use of the dosimetry model is that it clearly shows what type of damage to expect. It takes away the guess work and quickly establishes the pattern of illness that one would expect to find. Dosimetry alerts us to the fact that there are unseen forces contributing to our overall illness patterns. Any organization or company that works with radiation is required to monitor the level of exposure using this technique. This model has been adapted to look at levels of tissue exposure to radio frequency radiation. What I have reproduced here is a simplified visual reference that one can use to see what parts of the body are affected and at risk. The pattern of injury shown here is the signature of the causative agent; microwave radiation.

Firstly, I will discuss the dosimetry model and explain what it suggests. Included below is a copy of the dosimetry model that was established by the United States Department of Defense. This study was conducted by the Radio Frequency Radiation Branch of the US Air Force Research Laboratory at Brooks Air Force Base in Texas.

The site can be readily accessed through the internet by typing the URL: <http://www.brooks.af.mil/AFRL/HED/hedr/>. Note the dosimetry chart which appears at that website is in color. The chart uses the color spectrum of light to reflect the relative sensitivity of tissues. The organs which are most sensitive are red in color and the least sensitive are blue.



Areas of high radiation absorption/sensitivity for the most part appear darker in this representation; this also means that these areas are the most susceptible to radiation injury. These areas include the nervous system: the brain, spinal cord and major sense organs (eyes and inner ear). Also, cartilage equally absorbs high levels of microwave radiation.

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

TN (Minnesota)

BT (California)

KC (Texas)

HC (California)

SJW (California)

PT (California)

RE (California)

TO (California)

APM (New Mexico)

MK (New Mexico)

MG (New Mexico)

ML (New Mexico)

MC (New Mexico)

TN (Minnesota)

CFB (New Mexico)

CFC (Texas)

DL (Texas)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

MF (Missouri)

ALM (New York)

LB (New York)

DS (New York)

LD (New York)

EG (New York)

DDEM (New York)

BBK (New York)

JSM (New York)

AAW (New York)

FM (New York)

SK (New York)

RS (New York)

CSK (Illinois)

HRS (West Virginia)

LCG (West Virginia)

DLS (West Virginia)

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>

Petition To Halt Universal Wireless Broadband, A Public Health Hazard

Dear President Obama,

As concerned and affected individuals of many races, religions and professions, we call your attention to a serious environmental problem that must be addressed, namely the growing cloud of electromagnetic radiation (“electrosmog”) that blankets our nation.



We share your commitment to the development of an infrastructure that is healthy for the population and good for the environment. We respectfully request your immediate action on this urgent issue.

Signed

DD (Florida)

SS (Illinois)

BD (Illinois)

MC (Illinois)

BH (Illinois)

LJW (Georgia)

BW (Georgia)

SH (Arizona)

LRD (Arizona)

BD (Arizona)

RLJ (Arizona)

JRM (Arizona)

Signature _____ Printed Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip code _____

Date _____ Phone/Email (optional) _____

Mail petition & signatures to: *Petition c/o WAVR, PO Box 99, Green Bank, WV 24944-0099*
Sign online: <http://wavr.org/petition/ToObama.php>